USING ANALYTIC DOMAINS WITHIN THE BLACK POPULATION TO UNDERSTAND DISPARITIES IN POPULATION HEALTH

Report to the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation

May 15, 2017

James S. Jackson University of Michigan

Tod G. Hamilton Princeton University

Mosi A. Ifatunji University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

> Krim K. Lacey University of Michigan

Hedwig E. Lee University of Washington

Jane A. Rafferty University of Michigan

Acknowledgements: We are very appreciative of the skilled contributions to this report made by Savannah Larimore; Savannah did a range of tasks including data analysis and copy editing. In addition, we would like to thank Julie Sweetman for her meticulous editorial assistance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
Team Biographies	3
Executive Summary	5
Introduction	6
Understudied Analytic Domains within the Black Population	7
Skin Color	7
Internal Migration	8
Birthplace	8
Immigrant Generational Status	9
Principal Causes of Health and Variation by Analytic Domain	9
Resources	.10
Resource by Skin Color	.10
Resources by Internal Migration and Birthplace	.11
Resources by Immigrant Generational Status	.13
Health Behaviors	.14
Body Mass Index by Birthplace	.15
Smoking by Birthplace	.17
Substance Abuse by Birthplace	.18
Physical Activity by Birthplace	.20
Diet and Nutrition by Birthplace	.20
Exploratory Analyses Using the National Survey of American Life	21
Environmental Exposure	.23
Environmental Pollutants	
Built Environment Factors	.24
Built Environment Factors by Birthplace and Immigrant Generational	25
Status	25
Exposure to Drugs, Alcohol, and Violence	26
Exposure to Drugs, Alcohol, and Violence by Birthplace and Immigra	nt
Generational Status	27
Stress and the Environment	.28
Biology	
Stress Biomarkers	.30
Allostatic Load by Skin Color	.32
Allostatic Load by Skin Color Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Skin Color	.32
Allostatic Load by Skin Color Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Skin Color Allostatic Load by Birthplace	.32 .33 .33
Allostatic Load by Skin Color Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Skin Color Allostatic Load by Birthplace Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Birthplace	32 33 33 33
Allostatic Load by Skin Color Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Skin Color Allostatic Load by Birthplace Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Birthplace Hypertension by Birthplace	32 33 33 33 34
Allostatic Load by Skin Color Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Skin Color Allostatic Load by Birthplace Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Birthplace Hypertension by Birthplace Type I Diabetes by Birthplace	32 33 33 33 34 35
Allostatic Load by Skin Color Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Skin Color Allostatic Load by Birthplace Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Birthplace Hypertension by Birthplace Type I Diabetes by Birthplace Add Health: A Detailed Empirical Example of Variations in Allostatic	32 33 33 33 34 35
Allostatic Load by Skin Color Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Skin Color Allostatic Load by Birthplace Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Birthplace Hypertension by Birthplace Type I Diabetes by Birthplace Add Health: A Detailed Empirical Example of Variations in Allostatic Load by Analytic Domain	32 33 33 34 35
Allostatic Load by Skin Color Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Skin Color Allostatic Load by Birthplace Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Birthplace Hypertension by Birthplace Type I Diabetes by Birthplace Add Health: A Detailed Empirical Example of Variations in Allostatic Load by Analytic Domain	.32 .33 .33 .33 .34 .35 .35 .38
Allostatic Load by Skin Color Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Skin Color Allostatic Load by Birthplace Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Birthplace Hypertension by Birthplace Type I Diabetes by Birthplace Add Health: A Detailed Empirical Example of Variations in Allostatic Load by Analytic Domain Recommendations Skin Color	.32 .33 .33 .33 .34 .35 .35 .38 .39
Allostatic Load by Skin Color Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Skin Color Allostatic Load by Birthplace Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Birthplace Hypertension by Birthplace Type I Diabetes by Birthplace Add Health: A Detailed Empirical Example of Variations in Allostatic Load by Analytic Domain Recommendations Skin Color Internal Migration	32 33 33 33 34 35 35 38 39 40
Allostatic Load by Skin Color Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Skin Color Allostatic Load by Birthplace Cardiovascular and Metabolic Markers by Birthplace Hypertension by Birthplace Type I Diabetes by Birthplace Add Health: A Detailed Empirical Example of Variations in Allostatic Load by Analytic Domain Recommendations Skin Color Internal Migration Birthplace	.32 .33 .33 .33 .34 .35 .35 .38 .39 .40 .40

Conclusion	41
References	43
Appendix A. Description of Representative Data Sets Used in Report .	64

TEAM BIOGRAPHIES

James S. Jackson holds a number of positions at the University of Michigan. He is the Daniel Katz Distinguished University Professor of Psychology; Professor of Afroamerican and African Studies, Department of Afroamerican and African Studies; and Research Professor within the Institute for Social Research's Research Center for Group Dynamics. He received his PhD from Wayne State University.

As either the P.I. or Co-P.I. on numerous program projects and research grants, his research examines ethnic and racial influences on the social determinants of both physical and mental health across different population groups. He was the P.I. for the landmark National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH)-funded National Survey of Black Americans (NSBA); the NSBA was the first nationally representative survey of black Americans. The NSBA is a panel survey including 4 data collections over the course of 14 years. In addition, he was the P.I. on the National Survey of American Life (NSAL). The NSAL extended the NSBA. Its purpose was to explore inter-and intra-group racial and ethnic differences in psychological distress and rates of mental disorders, as well as the influences of a wide variety of stressors, stress, coping resources, and individual coping and help-seeking strategies among national samples of African Americans, non-Hispanic blacks of Caribbean descent, and non-Hispanic whites. He is currently the Co-Director of a National Institute for Minority Health Disparities (NIMHD) supported P-60 Center, the Center for Integrated Approaches to Health Disparities (CIAHD). As part of this center he has directed several clinic- and population-based studies and collectively it is part of a broader effort to understand varying mechanisms that explain of disparities in physical health and mental health.

James has been a recipient of many awards including the Distinguished Career Contributions to Research Award, Society for the Psychological Study of Ethnic Minority Issues, American Psychological Association, and the James McKeen Cattell Fellow Award for Distinguished Career Contributions in Applied Psychology from the Association for Psychological Sciences. He is an elected member of the Institute of Medicine of the National Academies of Sciences. Recently, he was appointed to National Science Board of the National Science Foundation.

Tod G. Hamilton is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Sociology and a Faculty Associate of the Office of Population Research at Princeton University. His primary research interests lie in social stratification, immigration, and health. His current projects examine the social implications of the rapidly growing black immigrant population in the United States. Between 1960 and 2013, the number of black immigrants in the United States increased from 125,000 to 3,793,000. If this trend continues, black immigrants and their descendants will play a significant role in determining perceptions of social and economic well-being of the country's black population in future decades. The primary aim of this project is to advance the sociological understanding of the primary determinants of racial disparities in health and economic well-being among individuals who reside in the United States. His research has been published in *Demography, Journal of Health and Social Behavior, Social Science and Medicine, and Social Science Research*.

Mosi Adesina Ifatunji is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Sociology and at the Carolina Population Center at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. His research interests are in race, culture, biology and social stratification. To this end, he employs various comparisons between African Americans and Black immigrants, not only to document important and increasingly dynamic population trends, but to isolate the role of sociocultural context and practice in the process of racialization and the manufacture of larger trends in social stratification. He uses this quasi-experimental design, which he calls the 'black ethnic comparative,' to hold

'racialized physical features' (e.g., skin color, hair tenure and craniofacial bone structure) constant while allowing social, political and historical context to vary in important ways. Ultimately, this design holds unique potential for improving our understanding of how race, culture and biology contribute to social meaning and stratification in society. For more information, please visit: www.ifatunji.info.

Krim K. Lacey, Ph.D., earned his degree in sociology from Wayne State University. He currently has research affiliation with the University of Michigan's Institute for Social Research, Program for Research on Black Americans. His primary research is on intimate partner violence, particularly focusing on minority and immigrant populations. He has also been engaged in research that addresses the influence of social context and cultural factors on the physical and mental well-being of Caribbeans residing in the United States, Canada and England, and within the Caribbean region.

Hedwig (Hedy) Lee is an Associate Professor of Sociology at the University of Washington in Seattle. She received her BS in Policy Analysis from Cornell University in 2003 and her PhD in Sociology from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in 2009. After receiving her PhD, she was a Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Health and Society Scholar at the University of Michigan, School of Public Health from 2009 to 2011. She is also a faculty affiliate of the Center for Research on Demography and Ecology, the West Coast Poverty Center and the Center for Statistics and the Social Sciences. She is broadly interested in the social determinants and consequences of population health and health disparities, with a focus on the health of African Americans. Her recent work examines the impact of family member incarceration on women's health.

Jane A. Rafferty, ABD Sociology, University of Michigan is a Research Area Specialist Senior at the University of Michigan's Institute for Social Research. She has extensive experience in designing, planning, and carrying out studies broadly assessing racial and ethnic disparities in physical and mental health. Collectively, this research seeks to understand the varying social and biological pathways linking the social environment, forms of stress, coping behaviors to health status. In addition, she has interests in comparative and historical analyses of the meanings of racial and ethnic categories.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Across multiple disciplines within the health and social sciences, researchers have long documented health disparities among Blacks and Whites in the United States (U.S.). However the mechanisms underlying these disparities are not fully understood. Too often the Black population is treated analytically and conceptually as a monolithic group. In this report, we make the case for the validity and utility of disaggregating the Black population by a set of analytic domains for better understanding health disparities.

Our argument has a two-pronged starting point. First, we direct attention to critical analytic domains that are useful for comparative analysis within the Black population: *skin color* (e.g., light, medium and dark), *internal migration* (e.g., when and where respondents have lived within the U.S.), *birthplace* (e.g., in what international region or country was the respondent born) and *immigrant generational status* (e.g., whether or not the respondent's parents and or grandparents were born in the U.S.). We define each of the four domains, discuss how investigators have operationalized or measured these domains and point to selected studies that provide evidence that health varies within each of these population domain among U.S. Blacks.

Second, we identify a set of principal causes of health status and health disparities common within the vast literature on health and health disparities including: *resources, health behavior, environmental exposure, and biology*. In identifying principal causes of health status and analytic domains for disaggregation, we document the extent to which variation in any given domain is associated with variation in each of the principal causes. That is, in order for our proposed population domains to provide additional insights into the principal causes of racial health disparities, we not only show that health status varies within each domain but also that there is variation on each of the principal causes within each population domain.

We conclude the report with recommendations for how investigators, policy makers, and healthrelated funding agencies might collect data on the proposed analytic domains within the Black population. Skin color: Our review of existing studies shows as association between skin color and health. Moreover, variation in skin color is associated with variation in resources, health behaviors and environmental exposures. Future studies should therefore collect data on skin color among U.S. Blacks. There are important things that researchers should consider when evaluating which operational definition to adopt. Our general recommendation is that studies include both objective and subjective measures of skin color. Internal migration: We highlight significant variation across the principal causes by internal migration status, including lifetime and recent moves, among Blacks in the U.S. Given this heterogeneity, we recommend surveys attempting to understand the causes of health disparities among Blacks include a standard set of questions assessing internal migration. Birthplace: Our review shows an association between nativity and health by place of birth. Therefore, we recommend that data collections should include questions that assess the following: the country of birth; the state, city or town of birth; the year of migration to the U.S.; age of migration to the U.S.; and reason for migration to the U.S. In addition, as with internal migration, researchers might also include a question or set of questions that are designed to obverse the selection mechanism for immigration to the U.S. (e.g., family reunification, education, employment, political asylum). Immigrant generational status: Our review identifies important variation in health by immigrant generational status. To better understand this dimension of health among Blacks in the U.S., we recommend that future surveys collect information on generational status. And, in addition, possibly design studies that include a representative sample across three family generations.

INTRODUCTION

Across multiple disciplines within the health and social sciences, researchers have examined the existence and causes of population health disparities. Some of the more perplexing and consequential of these investigations concern disparities in health between 'racialized population groups' (e.g., between Blacks and Whites). Indeed, by the end of the twentieth century, the major federal grant-making institutions began increasing investments in both basic and applied research on racial disparities in health. The studies resulting from these investments have produced detailed descriptive comparisons between different racialized populations, but there continues to be little agreement on the mechanisms behind these disparities. That is, while most scientists agree on the existence of a wide range of racial disparities in health, questions regarding how and why these disparities exist, persist, and grow, remain unanswered. In this report, we propose the use of specific analytic population domains within the U.S. Black population in order to better understand the mechanisms that produce racial disparities in population health.

Those interested in population health have worked to identify the social, behavioral and biological factors shaping the health status of human population groups (e.g., Diez Roux 2012; Jackson and Knight 2006; Link and Phelan 1995; Phelan et al. 2004; Phelan, Link and Tehranifar 2010; Schulz et al. 2005; Williams 1997). The principal causes of health and health disparities that have been identified include: *resources* (e.g., individual and area-level measures of socioeconomic status [SES]), *environmental exposures* (e.g., toxins and sources of stress including discrimination), *health behaviors* (e.g., exercise and diet) and *biology* (e.g., genetics and biomarkers). We propose that comparative analyses across subgroups within the U.S. Black population may provide insight into longstanding debates on the relative contribution of different principal causes in explaining racial disparities in health. While the Black population includes rich variation across a wide range of dimensions, we propose greater focus on four specific analytic domains: *skin color* (e.g., light, medium and dark), *internal migration* (e.g., when and where respondents have lived within the U.S.), *birthplace* (e.g., in what international region or country was the respondent born) and *immigrant generational status* (e.g., whether or not the respondent's parents and/or grandparents were born in the U.S.).

In the sections that follow, we make the case for the validity and utility of disaggregating the Black population by these analytic domains. We begin by providing a detailed description of the population domains or subgroups we think are particularly useful in adjudicating the relative importance of each of the principal causes of population health. That is, we define each of the four domains, discuss how investigators have operationalized or measured these domains and point to selected studies that provide evidence that health varies within each of these population

domain among U.S. Blacks. After clarifying the four analytic domains, we then show the extent to which variation in any given domain is associated with variation in each of the principal causes. That is, in order for our proposed population domains to provide additional insights into the principal causes of racial health disparities, we not only show that health status varies within each domain but also that there is variation on each of the principal causes within each population domain. We conclude the report with recommendations for how investigators, policy makers, and health-related funding agencies might collect data on the proposed analytic domains within the Black population.

UNDERSTUDIED ANALYTIC DOMAINS WITHIN THE BLACK POPULATION

In this section we provide a detailed conceptual definition for each of the analytic population domains, review some of the ways in which each domain has been operationalized, and present the distribution of each domain within the Black population. We also point to studies that have examined associations between our population domains and select health outcomes.

Skin Color

Interest in the role of skin color in shaping the lived experience of the Black population has been rapidly increasing in recent decades. Although there are some early exceptions (see Johnson 1934), the social scientific study of skin color variation within the Black population began in 1979-80 with the fielding of the National Study of Black Americans (NSBA) at the University of Michigan (Jackson and Gurin 1987; Jackson, Caldwell and Sellers 2012). Previously, studies used this terminology to refer to different racialized populations (e.g., "Blacks" versus "Whites"). Today, scholars use skin color (i.e., skin shade or skin tone) to refer to the level of skin pigmentation of any given person or group, with special attention to variation within any given racialized population.

Broadly, investigators have operationalized skin color in two ways: continuously and categorically. The most popular continuous measure of skin color is the reflectance meter, which infers skin color by passing light through the epidermis of various parts of the body, but usually under the upper volar arm (the underside of the upper arm) or the forehead (e.g., Borrell et al. 2006; Boyle 1970). Most categorical studies (both self- and observer-reported) of skin color include hues of white, brown or black. Categorical measures of skin color generally include either self- or interviewer-reports. There is no current standardized set of skin color categories, but one of the most frequently used measures divides a population into five categories: very light, light, medium, dark, and very dark (Jackson, Caldwell, and Sellers 2012).

With respect to the Black population, our analysis of the 2001-2003 National Survey of American Life (NSAL) suggests that 4 percent of the lack population is very dark, 24 percent dark, 47 percent medium, 16 percent light, and 8 percent very light. In terms of the association between skin color and Black population health, a number of studies show that health varies significantly among Blacks by skin color (e.g., Armstead et al. 2014; Boyle 1970; Dressler 1990; Gravlee and Dressler 2005; Harburg et al. 1978; Klag et al. 1991; Monk 2015; Wassink, Perriera and Harris 2016).

Internal Migration

Despite the long history of internal migration among Blacks in the U.S. (e.g., Lieberson 1980), few studies (beyond historical accounts of the Great Migration) have documented differences in life outcomes between U.S. resident migrants and non-migrants. Internal migration – or "domestic migration" – refers to residential migration within a country. That is, while some may never leave their neighborhood or state of their birth, others may relocate multiple times over their life course. While there are a number of ways to identify internal migrations, most studies define internal migrants as individuals who resided in a different state than their state of birth at the time of a survey (e.g., Butcher 1994, Hamilton 2014). However, some studies have also focused on moves to different regions in the U.S. (e.g., Lemann 2011; Lieberson 1980; Tolnay 2003) or whether respondents have moved from their current residence within the past year or five years, regardless of destination (e.g., Hamilton 2015; Model 2008). Studies of the 2001-2014 American Community Surveys (ACS) suggest that approximately 36 percent of Blacks currently reside in a state that is different from their state of birth (Hamilton 2015). Research shows that internal migration status is an important correlate of health and mortality within the Black population (Hamilton 2015; Wingate, Swaminathan, and Alexander 2009).

Birthplace

Although interest in the experience of foreign-born Blacks dates back to the beginning of the twentieth century (e.g., Reid 1939), studying the foreign-born Black population has only recently become a viable subfield of investigation. Studies have operationalized birthplace in at least three ways: whether or not the respondent was born in the U.S. (e.g., native vis-à-vis immigrant), the geopolitical region within which the respondent was born (e.g., the Caribbean, Africa, South America, Europe or North America), or the country within which the respondent was born (e.g., Jamaica, Nigeria, Colombia, France or the U.S.). Since 1960, there has been an exponential increase in the size of the foreign-born Black population. Between 1960 and 2014, the number of Black immigrants in the U.S. increased from approximately 125,000 to

approximately 3,793,000 (Kent 2007). Foreign-born Blacks now account for about 9 percent of the overall Black population, three times as much as in 1980 (Anderson 2015). There has also been great diversification in terms of both the region and country of birth of the Black population. While early waves of Black immigrants hailed mostly from the Caribbean, today Black immigrants tend to emigrate from sub-Saharan Africa (Kent 2007). While Caribbean immigration increased by 33 percent between 2000 and 2014, African immigration increased by a remarkable 137 percent (Anderson 2015). All estimates show that throughout the life course, Black immigrants have health and mortality profiles that are different from those of U.S.-born Blacks (Green 2012; Hamilton and Hummer 2011; Read, Emerson and Tarlov 2005; Singh and Siahpush 2002;).

Immigrant Generational Status

Immigrant generational status refers to whether both the respondent and their parents or grandparents were born in a particular country. Prior studies have operationalized immigrant generational status according to four distinctions: first generation (or those born outside of the U.S.), 1.5 generation (or those that were born outside of the U.S., but migrated to the U.S. before the age of 16¹), second generation (or those with at least one foreign-born parent), or third or more generation (those with both parents born in the U.S. but at least one foreign-born grandparent). According to our analysis of the March files of the Current Population Survey (CPS), 8-10 percent of the total Black population has at least one foreign-born parent (second generation Black immigrants). Moreover, among all U.S.-born Black individuals under the age of 20, 16 percent have at least one foreign-born parent. We know much less about the other generational distinctions, as most major population surveys do not include relevant questions. However, existing studies show that health and health behaviors vary widely among Blacks by immigrant generational status (Acevedo-Garcia et al. 2010; Acevedo-Garcia et al. 2005).

PRINCIPAL CAUSES OF HEALTH AND VARIATION BY ANALYTIC DOMAIN

In the prior section we described a set of analytic population domains, citing studies documenting that population health varies within each domain. In this section, we describe each of principal causes of health (i.e., resources, health behaviors, environmental exposures, and biology) and suggest how each of these principal causes may vary within the proposed population domains. We argue that the combined links between our population domains and health outcomes, and between these domains and each of the principal causes, provides a research

¹ Note that there is variation in this age cutoff with a range from age 12 to age 18.

framework that will advance understandings of the principal causes of population health disparities in the U.S. After reviewing literature and providing some preliminary evidence of our own as to the connection between our population domains and the principal causes of population health, we conclude with recommendations for the collection of data on under-studied sub-groups within the Black population.

Resources

Research shows an inverse association between SES (e.g., education, wealth and income) and population health and mortality. These associations remained even as the major disease risks have changed over time (e.g., tuberculosis and poor sanitation). In an attempt to explain these patterns, Link and Phelan (1995) advanced the argument that SES is a fundamental cause of social disparities in health and mortality. According to Phelan and colleagues (2012: 30), "...an important reason that SES is related to multiple disease outcomes through multiple pathways that change over time is that individuals and groups deploy resources to avoid risks and adopt protective strategies. Key resources such as knowledge, money, power, prestige, and beneficial social connections can be used no matter what the risk and protective factors are in a given circumstance." Link and Phelan propose four key criteria to demonstrate that SES is a fundamental cause of health inequalities. That is, studies find:

- (1) evidence that SES influences multiple disease outcomes;
- (2) evidence that SES is related to multiple risk factors for disease and death;
- (3) evidence that the deployment of resources plays a critical role in the association between SES and health/mortality; and
- (4) evidence that the association between SES and health/mortality is reproduced over time via the replacement of intervening mechanisms (Phelan et al. 2004).

Research has consistently found support for each of these criteria (e.g., Dutton 1978; House and Williams 2000; Illsley and Mullen 1985; Lantz et al. 1998; Link et al. 1998; Link et al. 2008; Ruberman et al. 1984; Rosen 1979; Turner, Wheaton, and Lloyd 1995). Consequently, understanding variation in resources associated with social and economic status among U.S. Blacks, could provide valuable insights into the principal causes of health disparities *within* the U.S. Black population, while also contributing to larger debates concerning the relative importance of resources in explaining racial health disparities.

Resources by skin color

Every U.S. census that contains data on earnings shows that U.S.-born Blacks have lower earnings and levels of educational attainment than U.S.-born Whites. The earnings disparity

between U.S.-born Blacks and Whites remains even after adjusting for educational attainment and work experience (Darity et al. 2001). While the implications of these disparities are far-reaching, focusing exclusively on intergroup disparities between racialized population groupings (e.g., Blacks and Whites) ignores the fact that some subgroups within the Black population may face greater labor market penalties than others. One racialized characteristic that varies widely within the Black population is skin color. Indeed, various measures of skin color (e.g., categorical or continuous; noted in previous sections) have allowed researchers to observe the association between skin color and SES.

Studies link skin color to variation in several key economic resources including, but not limited to: educational attainment (Keith and Herring 1991; Seltzer and Smith 1991) and income (Goldsmith, Hamilton, and Darity 2006; Monk 2015). Goldsmith, Hamilton, and Darity (2006, 2007) have found that light-skinned Black men have higher adjusted earnings than that of darker skinned Black men. Indeed, Goldsmith and colleagues (2007) found that the earnings of the lightest skinned Black men were similar to those of White men. These findings held even when the study authors compared Blacks with similar occupations. Similarly, Monk (2014) shows that lighter skinned individuals achieve higher levels of educational attainment than their darker skinned counterparts. Moreover, Goldsmith, Hamilton, and Darity (2007) show that, even after adjusting for retrospective high school performance, labor market experience, health status, and self-esteem, lighter skinned Blacks. This points to an independent association between skin color and SES.

Resources by internal migration and birthplace

Other important population domains within the U.S. Black population are internal migration and birthplace. Few studies have attempted to understand the degree to which the decision to move is correlated with SES among U.S.-born and foreign-born Blacks. To address this issue, Table 1 displays disparities in a range of social and demographic measures including earnings and education, according to internal migration status, country of birth, and generational status.

Table 1. Social an	d Demographic Di	fferences among	Blacks by Inter	rnal Migration Status, Cou	ntry of Birth, and	Generational S	tatus.				
Danal 1 Man											
Fallel I. Wiell											
	In	ternal Migration			Country of I		Gen	tatus			
					.						
					Spanish-	English-		Sub-			
					Speaking	Speaking		Saharna			
	All Black Natives	Movers	Non-movers	All Foreign-born Blacks	Caribean	Caribbean	Haiti	Africa	I hird/Higher	Second	First
							-				
Weekly Farnings	827	954.8	757 3	863.7	704.1	925.1	721.2	887.4	638.4	781.5	662.7
Weekly Lannings	(809.7)	(961.2)	(704.1)	(887.4)	(625.1)	(867.9)	(752.0)	(950.3)	(805.2)	(595.7)	(1137.8)
In the labor Force	0.843	0.868	0.83	0.909	0.898	0.904	0.901	0.917	0.726	0.796	0.748
	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.4)
Employed	0.86	0.886	0.847	0.903	0.912	0.886	0.883	0.919	0.916	0.902	0.923
	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)
Married	0.417	0.486	0.382	0.595	0.531	0.587	0.603	0.611	0.337	0.322	0.48
-	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)
South	0.596	0.587	0.601	0.426	0.38	0.372	0.555	0.423	0.593	0.363	0.365
Northcost	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)
Nontheast	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.5)	(0.5)
Midwest	0.18	0.161	0.189	0.0988	0.0314	0.0296	0.02	0.189	0.192	0.094	0.0692
	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.3)
West	0.0959	0.151	0.0681	0.0928	0.0505	0.046	0.011	0.148	0.0841	0.136	0.0882
	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)
Education	12.88	13.32	12.65	13.18	12.11	12.94	12.57	13.88	12.89	13.74	12.69
	(2.1)	(2.2)	(2.1)	(3.0)	(3.0)	(2.6)	(3.1)	(3.0)	(1.7)	(1.7)	(2.2)
Experience	23.82	24.64	23.4	23.95	25.84	26.13	25.62	21.66	24.19	16.62	23.63
	(11.3)	(11.3)	(11.4)	(11.0)	(11.6)	(11.2)	(11.3)	(10.4)	(11.2)	(10.0)	(11.0)
Observations ⁶	220.212	90.079	150 225	40.025	1 204	10.051	6 522	16 120	93 742	1 0 2 0	10.962
Observations	239,313	00,070	159,255	40,925	1,394	13,231	0,002	10,139	03,742	1,929	10,002
Panel 2. Women											
	In	Internal Migration			Country of I	Birth			Gen	tatus	
					Spanich	English		Sub			
					Spanisn-	Sneaking		Saharna			
	All Black Natives	Movers	Non-movers	All Foreign-born Blacks	Caribean	Caribbean	Haiti	Africa	Third/Higher	Second	First
									j		
Weekly Earnings	694.9	804.9	642.9	720.3	556	783.2	618.6	701.3	802.2	931.1	810.6
	(640.1)	(754.6)	(570.9)	(684.6)	(527.5)	(674.9)	(612.3)	(683.0)	(977.1)	(895.0)	(927.0)
In the labor Force	0.819	0.827	0.815	0.824	0.776	0.858	0.831	0.8	0.743	0.799	0.869
Employed	(U.4)	(U.4)	(0.4)	(U.4)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(U.4)	(0.4)	(0.3)
Employed	0.886	0.898	(0.3)	0.898	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	0.895	0.880	(0.3)	0.915
Married	0.322	0.374	0.298	0,508	0.419	0.445	0,506	0.583	0,428	0.297	0.525
	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)
South	0.61	0.619	0.606	0.416	0.333	0.351	0.546	0.425	0.592	0.326	0.384
	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)
Northeast	0.128	0.103	0.14	0.426	0.608	0.593	0.427	0.244	0.121	0.424	0.429
	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.5)	(0.5)
Midwest	0.181	0.161	0.191	0.0794	0.0217	0.0233	0.017	0.179	0.189	0.076	0.0887
14/	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.3)
vvest	0.0804	0.117	0.0635	0.079	0.0381	0.0325	0.0098	0.152	0.097	0.174	0.0983
Education	(0.3)	(0.3)	(U.2) 13.12	(U.3)	(U.2)	(U.2)	(0.1)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.3)
Laucation	(2.2)	(2.2)	(2.1)	(3.1)	(3.1)	(2.5)	(3.2)	(3.5)	(1.7)	(1.7)	(2.3)
Experience	23.35	24.41	22.87	24.03	25.61	25.74	25.77	21.11	24.34	16.11	22.96
	(11.4)	(11.5)	(11.3)	(11.3)	(11.7)	(11.1)	(11.7)	(10.7)	(11.1)	(10.0)	(10.7)
	, ,			, <i>,</i>	. ,	<u> </u>					. ,
Observations	316,490	98,786	217,704	47,447	1,716	18,612	7,765	15,243	62,269	1,455	9,616

Source: Data from the 2001-2014 waves of the American Community Survey are used to generate estimates for internal migration and country of birth. Data from the 2001-2014 waves of the Current Population Survey are used to produce estimates for generational status. Notes: Internal migrants are defined as individuals who have moved across states since birth.

Using data from the 2001-2014 waves of the ACS, Panel 1 of Table 1 shows considerable variation among Black men in weekly earnings. While Columns 1 and 4 show that Black immigrants earn an average of \$36.7 more per week than African Americans (collectively), amounting for about \$1,908.4 dollars more per year, the earnings of African American movers (\$954.8) are considerably greater than those of Black immigrants (\$863.7). This weekly earnings disparity means that African American men who are movers earn \$4,737.2 more in annual earnings compared to Black immigrant men.

Panel 2 of Table 1 reveals that Black immigrant women earn \$25.4 dollars more per week than African American women (collectively). Similar to men, African American women who are movers have weekly earnings that are \$162 more than African American women who are nonmovers and \$84.6 dollars more than Black immigrants. This difference amounts to a \$4,399.2 annual earnings disparity between African American female movers and Black immigrant women.

In addition, Table 1 shows earnings differences for Black immigrants from four primary sending regions. Among both immigrant men and women, immigrants from the English-speaking Caribbean have the highest earnings while immigrants from Haiti and the Spanish-speaking Caribbean have the lowest earnings. Panel 1 of Table 1, shows that African American men and women on average have weekly earnings of \$827 and \$694.9, respectively. Among men, individuals from the Spanish-speaking Caribbean and Haiti have weekly earnings that are below the average of African American men. Black immigrant men for the English-speaking Caribbean and Sub-Saharan Africa have higher weekly earnings than do African American men. Panel 2 of Table 1 shows a similar pattern of earnings for Black immigrant women. That is, women from Haiti and the Spanish-speaking Caribbean, have earnings that are below the mean for African American American women while the other subgroups have earnings that are above the mean for African American American women.

Resources by immigrant generational status

Access to resources that promote good health also varies among Blacks by immigrant generational status. Since few studies document this source of variation among Blacks in the U.S. (Elo et al. 2015; Hamilton 2014; Ifatunji 2016), we provide a set of descriptive statistics to highlight the degree of variation in two important resources – income and educational attainment – among Blacks by immigrant generational status. Using data from the 2001-2014 waves of the March files of the CPS, Table 1 displays an association between generational status and educational attainment among Blacks between the ages of 25 and 64. Panel 1of Table 1 shows that, relative to third or higher-generation Blacks, first generation blacks have lower levels of education and second-generation blacks have higher levels of education. Among women, Panel 2 of Table 1 shows that, relative to third/higher generation blacks, first- and second- generation blacks by generational status. Table 1 also shows that weekly earnings vary considerably among Blacks by generational status. Among men and women, relative to third/higher generation Black immigrants have higher unadjusted weekly earnings.

In summary, this section reveals that disaggregating the Black population along the analytic domains allows for a more nuanced understanding of disparities in SES among Blacks in the U.S. Understanding such variation in social and economic status could facilitate researchers and policy-makers gaining a better understanding of the sources of health disparities, and how to target interventions in a manner that maximizes reductions in social and economic inequities.

Health Behaviors

Studies within the population domains we have proposed will also provide important insights into the relative importance of health behaviors in explaining variation in population health. Some of the more commonly studied health behaviors include: smoking, substance use, physical activity, and diet and nutrition. Many also consider body mass index (BMI) to be the result of health behaviors, namely, energy intake (i.e., diet and nutrition) and energy expenditure (i.e., physical activity). While health behaviors are associated with SES, differences in health behaviors do not fully account for health disparities between those with higher and lower SES (Pampel, Krueger and Denney 2010). Few studies document differences in health behaviors within the analytic domains that we propose.

Of the population domains we propose, birthplace is the most frequently studied. While several studies show a relationship between skin color and various health outcomes (e.g., Armstead et al. 2014; Borrell et al. 2006; Boyle 1970; Coresh et al. 1991; Dressler 1990; Gleiberman et al. 1995; Gravlee and Dressler 2005; Harburg et al. 1973; Keil et al. 1981; Keil et al. 1992; Klag et al. 1991; Knapp et al. 1995; Monk 2015; Nelson et al. 1993; Rosenblum et al. 2015; Schwam et al. 1995; Sweet et al. 2007; Taylor et al. 2012), only one study observed a relationship between skin color and health behaviors (Harburg et al. 1978).² This single study found no relationship between skin color and smoking behavior (b = .05, se = .06, ns). Since there is very little work on the relationship between internal migration, immigrant generational status and health (e.g., Bennett et al. 2008; Hamilton 2015; Hamilton 2013), we forgo an extended review of these domains and their relationship to health behaviors. Instead, we focus our review on birthplace (including both nativity as well as country and region of origin when possible) and then conclude with an exploratory analysis of the relationship between our analytic domains and health behaviors.

 $^{^{2}}$ A number of studies show a relationship between skin color and smoking behavior. These studies argue that smoking alters skin color. Such studies fall outside the scope of this review as they assert that the health behavior causes changes in skin color as opposed to skin color being predictive of the health behavior.

Body mass index by birthplace

Body mass index is an anthropometric measure that investigators often use as a 'global index' of health behaviors. That is, those who take in few substances, smoke less, move more and have better diet and nutrition tend to have a lower BMI than those who take in more substances, smoke more, move less and have worse diet and nutrition. In general, studies show that foreignborn Blacks have lower BMIs than do native-born Blacks (i.e., African Americans). For instance, a study using data from the 1997-2002 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) on adults 18 or older, found that, on average, U.S. born Blacks have a higher BMI than foreign-born Blacks (28.4 versus 26.6; Borrell et al., 2008). A study using 1988-1994 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data on adult aged 20 to 79, also found slightly greater BMIs and waist circumference among native-born Blacks (27.9, 92.8) than among foreign-born Blacks (26.8, 90.3; Lancaster, Watts and Dixon 2006). According to the 1979-1989 National Longitudinal Mortality Study (NLMS; Singh and Siahpush 2002), among adults aged 18-64, African Americans have a BMI (27.1) that is greater than Black immigrants (25.6).

Investigators have replicated this more general finding in two smaller area samples. A study focused on the Blacks in Philadelphia (Elo and Culhane 2010), found that native-born Blacks (26 percent) are twice as likely to be obese (BMI > 30) than foreign-born Blacks (11 percent). Among registered nurses and pharmacists living in the Houston, Texas metropolitan area (Hyman et al. 1999, Poston et al. 2001), another small study found that foreign-born Africans (28.4) have lower BMIs than native-born Blacks (31.3). A small area study of New Hampshire (Ryan, Gee and Laflamme 2006), reports that native-born Blacks (30.1) have greater mean BMI than do foreign-born Blacks (26.4). In a small sample of men aged 20-64 in Washington DC (O'Connor et al. 2014), native-born Blacks reported higher BMI (29.3) than foreign-born Blacks from Africa (27.4).

Several studies have also identified similar patterns to the ones described above when comparing estimates for various sub-populations. One study in particular disaggregated the native-born Black population between those living in the North and the South with foreign-born Blacks (Hicks et al. 2003). Using data on participants aged 30 to79 from 1988-1994 NHANES, a slightly lower BMI was found among Northern Black women (29.2) and men (26.8) than among Southern Black women (30.3) and men (26.9), but even lower BMI among foreign-born Black women (28.2) and men (25.3). Using data from the 1989-1996 NHIS (Antecol and Bedard 2006), a slightly higher BMI for native-born Blacks (28) was found in comparison to foreign-born Black women (26.7). Findings from the same study also illustrate that native-born Black women are more likely to be overweight (BMI between 25 and 29.9; 63 percent) and obese (32 percent) than are foreign-born Black women (59 percent, 22 percent). The study further observes a similar

pattern for Black men; native-born Black men have a slightly greater BMI (26.5) than foreignborn Black men (25.1). The same pattern holds for obesity among Black men. Native-born Black men are more likely to be overweight (57 percent) and obese (20 percent) than foreign-born Black men (49 percent, 6 percent). Moreover, among adults aged 25 to 74 the same pattern of disparities in BMI and obesity between native-born and foreign-born Black women and men are found (Ford, Narayan and Mehta 2015).

Additional studies from the 2000-2006 NHIS focusing on adults aged 25 or more (Elo, Mehta and Huang 2008), found that native-born non-Hispanic Blacks are more likely to be obese (37 percent) than are foreign-born Blacks from West Indian, Caribbean and South American countries (24 percent), African countries (18 percent) and European countries (32 percent). One study reported differences in BMI for native-born Black women and men and foreign-born Black women and men from the Caribbean/South America and Africa (Mehta et al. 2015). This study used data on adults aged 25-59 from the 2000-2013 NHIS and reported findings that are in line with all previous estimates, with the exception of the category for overweight. While native-born Blacks have greater mean BMI, are less likely to be within the normal range (BMI 18.5-24.9), and are more likely to be obese (for class I [low-risk; BMI 30.0 to 34.9] and II [moderate-risk; BMI 35.0 to 39.9]) than are foreign-born Blacks, foreign-born Black women (Caribbean/South America: 35.5; Africa: 37.5) and men (Caribbean/South America: 43.6; Africa: 46.7) are more likely overweight than native-born Black women (28.7) and men (37.2).

Although it appears that foreign-born Blacks have better health behaviors than African Americans, there is evidence of notable variation among foreign-born Blacks. According to the 2000-2006 National Health Interview Survey, among Blacks 18 years or older, obesity (or BMI >30) is lowest among Black immigrants from Africa (18 percent), followed by Hispanic Black immigrants (22 percent), Black immigrants from the Caribbean and South America (23.7 percent), Black immigrants from Europe (32.4 percent), non-Hispanic (37 percent) and Hispanic African Americans (38 percent; Elo, Mehta and Huang 2008). Drawing on a sample of "selfidentified healthy men" ages 20-64 in Washington DC, one study found that African Americans (29.3) have BMIs that are slightly higher than those for Black immigrants from Africa (27.4); but that Black immigrants from Central Africa have BMIs that are not statistically different from East Africans (26.9; O'Connor et al. 2014).

However, while there is evidence of variation among Black immigrants, some studies also suggest the bulk of the variation is between African Americans and Black immigrants. Drawing on data from the 1994 and 1996 NHANES and the International Collaborative Study of Hypertension in Blacks (ICSHIB), BMI among African Americans was found to be slightly higher than it is for Nigerians and Jamaicans, but within the margin of error, meaning that these

two populations (African Americans and Nigerians/Jamaicans) are not statistically distinct (Okosun et al. 1998). According to a 1999-2004 sample of Black women living in Philadelphia, African Americans are more likely to be obese (25.1 percent) than are those born in the Caribbean (19 percent) or Africa (17.9 percent; Elo and Culhane 2010). When BMI is standardized by age, according to the 2000-2013 NHIS, African Americans have greater BMI (30.8) than Black immigrants from South America/Caribbean and Africa, which are essentially not statistically different from each other (28.3 and 28, respectively; Mehta et al. 2015).

Smoking by birthplace

Both national and regional studies reveal that, relative to African Americans Black immigrants are less likely to be current or former smokers. Several studies using various compositions of the NHIS have found that African Americans smoke more than Black immigrants. For instance, a study using data from the 1990-1994 NHIS on Black adults aged 18 to 64 found that African Americans are about twice as likely to be a current smoker (30.4 percent) than are Black immigrants (14.1 percent; King et al. 1999). According to a study of Black men aged 18 and older in the 1997-2000 NHIS (Lucas, Anderson and Kington 2003), African Americans are more likely to be either a current (29.8 percent) or former smoker (20.7 percent) than are Black immigrants (14.4 and 15.8 percent). A study using data from the 1997-2002 NHIS on adults aged 18 or older (Borrell et al. 2008), found that African Americans were much more likely to report being current (30.9 percent) or former smokers (49 percent) than Black immigrants (11.9 and 30.3 percent). Studies that draw on three other nationally representative samples find a similar pattern. According to the 1979-1989 NLMS (Singh and Siahpush 2002), among adults aged 18-64, African Americans are about three times more likely to report being current smokers (29.3 percent, N=25,655) than are Black immigrants (10.4 percent, N=777). According to the 2006 Tobacco Use Supplement (TUS) of the CPS, African Americans are more likely to report smoking moderate to heavy (4.6 percent), light or intermittent (6.2 percent) or to be a former smoker than Black immigrants (1.6, 5.4 and 2.5 percent; Wade, Lariscy and Hummer 2013). Finally, a study using data from the 2001-2010 NHANES (Doamekpor and Dinwiddie 2015) found that African Americans are about twice as likely as Black immigrants to report being a current smoker (33.2 versus 14.4 percent).

There are also a number of smaller area studies reporting that African Americans are more likely to smoke than are Black immigrants. For instance, a small area study of adults aged 18 or older in New Hampshire (Ryan, Gee and Laflamme 2006), found that African Americans are three times more likely to report being a current smoker (31.2 percent) than are Black immigrants (10.7 percent). According to the 2002 NYC Department of Health and Mental

Hygiene and 2005 Community Health Surveys (White et al. 2011), African Americans are more likely to be current (15 percent) or former smokers (28.1 percent) than are Black immigrants (9.8 and 9.2 percent). Another study that used a small sample of self-identified healthy men ages 20-64 in Washington DC (O'Connor et al. 2014) found that African Americans were twice as likely to report being a current smoker (16 percent) than Black immigrants from Africa (7 percent). Among registered nurses and pharmacists living in the Houston metropolitan area (Poston et al. 2001), African Americans were far more likely to report being a current smoker (8.1 percent) than are Black immigrants from Africa (1.1 percent). Finally, a small area study of Miami-Dade and Broward Counties in Florida (Huffman et al. 2011), found that African Americans were much more likely to report being a smoker (78 percent) than Haitian Americans (16 percent).

While we gain some additional descriptive utility by comparing African Americans to Black immigrants from different places (e.g., countries or regions) of birth, it appears that most of the variation in smoking behavior exists between African Americans and Black immigrants collectively. According to the 2000-2006 NHIS, among Blacks 18 years or older, Black immigrants from South America/Caribbean and Africa are the least likely be current smokers or have ever smoked (16 and 19 percent, respectively), followed by Black immigrants from Europe (30.2 percent), and both Hispanic and non-Hispanic U.S. born Blacks (41 percent; Elo, Mehta and Huang 2008). According to an analysis of Black women in the 2008 vital statistics birth record data from 27 states (Elo, Vang and Culhane 2014), African American women reported being 10 times more likely to have smoked during their pregnancy (10.5 percent) than Black immigrants from Sub-Saharan Africa (0.4 percent) and the Caribbean (0.7 percent). A study using data on adults aged 25-74 from the 2000-2013 NHIS (Ford, Narayan and Mehta 2015), reported that African American men were more likely to be current (28.6 percent) or former (19 percent) smokers than were Black immigrant men from the "Americas" (12.7 and 13.9 percent) and Africa (11.8 and 14.5 percent). According to the same study, this pattern holds and is starker for Black women. African American women were more likely to be current (21.2 percent) or former (13 percent) smokers than were Black immigrant women from the Americas (4.8 and 4.7 percent) and Africa (1.6 and 2.2 percent). A 1999-2000 prospective study of Black women living in Philadelphia (Elo and Culhane 2010), reported that African Americans were much more likely to smoke tobacco (21.7) than Black immigrants (3.9 percent) and that Black immigrants from the Caribbean are more likely to smoke (5 percent) than are those born in Africa (1.9 percent).

Substance abuse by birthplace

Few studies have investigated substance abuse by nativity, but those that have report African Americans as more likely to abuse substances than are Black immigrants. Those who

take more than the recommended amounts of legal substances or consume any illegal substances, including alcohol and drugs, are abusing substances. According to a study of Black men aged 18 and older in the 1997-2000 NHIS (Lucas, Barr-Anderson and Kington 2003), African Americans are less likely to have never been a drinker (21.5 percent) than are Black immigrants (31.5 percent). According to the same study, African Americans are much more likely to be a heavy drinker (5.1 percent) than are Black immigrants (0.8 percent), but there are no differences in these populations in being a current smoker (50.4 percent for both populations). One study disaggregated the native-born Black population between those living in the North and the South and compared these populations to foreign-born Blacks (Hicks et al. 2003). Using data from 1988-1994 NHANES on participants aged 30 to 79, this study found no differences between African American and Black immigrant men, but did find that a greater percentage of Northern African American women drank more than 12mg per day of alcohol (19.6 percent) in comparison to Southern African American women (15.6 percent) and Black immigrant women (6.6 percent). Another study that used a small sample of self-identified healthy men ages 20-64 in Washington DC (O'Connor et al. 2014), found no differences in alcohol intake between African Americans and Black immigrants from Africa.

Very few studies have studied substance abuse by country or region of origin. However, drawing on population samples in the U.S. and the Caribbean, one study found that the prevalence rates of lifetime substance abuse were much lower among those who decided not to migrate than among those who migrated (Lacey et al. 2016). The substance abuse rates among Blacks in Guyana and Jamaica (2.7, 2.6) are much lower than the rate of substance abuse among African Americans and Black immigrants from the Caribbean in the U.S. (11.5, 9.6). There are also notable differences between Black immigrants from Africa and the Caribbean. A 1999-2000 prospective study of Black women living in Philadelphia (Elo and Culhane 2010), found that African Americans were more likely to drink alcohol (35.6 percent) and smoke marijuana (22.7 percent) than were Black immigrants (22.1 and 4.2 percent). The same study also found that Black immigrants from the Caribbean drink (26.8 percent) and smoke marijuana (6.2) more than Black immigrants from Africa (14.2 and 0.9 percent). According to a small study of selfidentified immigrant men ages 20-64 living in Washington DC, African Americans were more likely to be a current smoker (16 percent) than African immigrants (7 percent; O'Connor et al. 2014). But there are also some notable differences, however, among African immigrants: East Africans are more likely to be current smokers (12 percent) than are Black immigrants from West (8 percent) or Central Africa (none; O'Connor et al. 2014).

Physical activity by birthplace

Few studies have reported on the physical activity of African Americans and Black immigrants. Findings from the small number of published studies provide mixed results. According to a study of Black men aged 18 and older in the 1997-2000 NHIS (Lucas, Barr-Anderson and Kington 2003), Black immigrants are slightly more likely to report "at least some physical activity" (56.8 percent) than are African Americans (54 percent). Similarly, among registered nurses and pharmacists living in the Huston metropolitan area (Hyman et al. 1999), African Americans were less likely to report an intense exercise level (16 percent) than were Black immigrants from Africa (24 percent), but both groups were about equally likely to report moderate exercise (13 and 12 percent, respectively). However, other small studies suggest the opposite trend. For example, a small area study of adults aged 18 or older in New Hampshire (Ryan, Gee and Laflamme 2006), reported that African Americans were more likely to report moderate or vigorous exercise (44.9 percent) than are Black immigrants (37.5 percent). One study that used a small sample of self-identified healthy men ages 20-64 in Washington DC (O'Connor et al. 2014), reported that African Americans were almost twice as likely to exercise 3 or more times a week for 30 minutes (49 percent) than were Black immigrants from Africa (28 percent). Finally, a small area study of Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, found no differences in physical activity between African Americans and Haitian Americans (Huffman et al. 2011).

Diet and nutrition by birthplace

There are a number of studies that link diet and nutrition to cardiovascular diseases in various Black populations (for a review, see Lancaster 2009). According to a study of Black adults aged 20 to 79 from the 1988-1994 NHANES III (Lancaster, Watts and Dixon 2006), Black immigrants (whether Hispanic or not) have more healthful dietary habits than African Americans. For example, Black immigrants have lower energy intakes and consume lower levels of all recorded fats; "higher intakes of carbohydrate, fiber, total carotenes, vitamin C, foliate, vitamin B-6, potassium and magnesium" (Lancaster, Watts and Dixon 2006: 447). Black immigrants also consumed fewer "servings of dark green leafy vegetables, cheese, eggs, luncheon meats, discretionary fat, added sugars and more servings of dried beans and peas, fruits, milk and total grains" than did African Americans (Lancaster, Watts and Dixon 2006: 448). African Americans reported eating more fruits and fiber than did first generation African immigrants (Hyman et al. 1999). Another study found similar outcomes in a comparison between African Americans and Haitian Americans (Huffman et al. 2011).³

³ This study shows a number of specific measures of diet and nutrition.

The data reviewed in this section clearly reveal that notable differences in health behaviors exist among the domains of disaggregation we chose to pursue. In all areas of health behaviors that we reviewed (smoking, obesity, substance abuse, physical activity, etc.), available literature revealed significant differences among various disaggregated groups, often in very different ways, favoring immigrant domains in some studies and favoring domestic groups in others. We believe that these observations are consistent with our earlier claims that the domains of disaggregation are relevant and that health behaviors may be related to physical and mental health statuses differentially across the domains of interest.

Exploratory Analyses Using the National Survey of American Life (NSAL)

Table 2 draws upon data from the National Survey of American Life to explore the association between health behaviors and our proposed population desegregations (see the appendix below for a description of this dataset). The table generally provides some support for the idea that, by disaggregating the Black population, we discover important variation in the health behaviors of the Black population. When statistically significant variation is found, it is in line with the literature on differences in health behaviors across these Black populations. The one population disaggregation that does not result in additional analytic utility for health behaviors is internal migration status. Those that were living in a different state than their birth at the time of the survey, do not have different health behaviors than those who never left their birth state. Also, while drinking behavior varies least across the populations, the largest and most consistent differences are in smoking behavior.

The first three columns at the far left of the table present findings from a bivariate regression model where a continuous measure of the Body Mass Index (BMI) is the outcome and a dichotomous measure of skin color is the singular independent variable. Since the model is a simple bivariate regression, we present the model f-statistic to assess whether skin color is a statistically significant correlate of BMI. The table shows that those who self-report darker skin colors have greater BMIs. There is a similar pattern for smoking. Those who self-report a darker skin color also tend to report a higher likelihood of being a current smoker. However, there appears to be no relationship between skin color and either drinking or physical activity.

There also appears to be a relationship between nativity status and select health behaviors. That is, African Americans have higher BMIs and are more likely to report being a current smoker than are Black immigrants. African Americans are also more likely to abuse substances and have lower levels of physical activity than Black immigrants. The association between drinking and nativity status is more nuanced. While there are no differences in the

Table 2.		Unadjusted Means of Health Behaviors for Selected Disagregations for the U.S. Black Populaiton															
		Skin Co	olor ¹		Intern	nal Migratio	on ²		Nativity ³		Genera	ational Sta	tus ⁴		Country	of Birth⁵	
	Light	Medium	Dark	f	No	Yes	f	Native	Foreign	f	Second	First	f	AfAm	Eng	non-Eng	f
Body Mass Index	28.2	28.8	29.1	.009	28.9	28.9	.920	28.9	26.5	.000	29.1	26.5	.001	28.9	26.2	27.5	.000
	(.219)	(.173)	(.204)		(.700)	(.222)		(.120)	(.244)		(.049)	(.244)		(.120)	(.312)	(.400)	
Health Behaviors																	
Smoking	.214 (.017)	.259 (.013)	.298 (.015)	.002	.268 (.011)	.274 (.019)	.790	.270 (.009)	.107 (.027)	.000	.252 (.043)	.107 <i>(.0</i> 27)	.004	.270 (.009)	.106 (.034)	.114 (.027)	.000
Drinking (mean)	2.00 (.106)	1.89 (.057)	1.95 (.067)	.645	1.93 (.066)	1.92 (.073)	.877	1.93 <i>(.048)</i>	1.79 (.116)	.301	2.21 (.211)	1.79 (.116)	.107	1.93 <i>(.048)</i>	1.77 (.139)	1.91 (.100)	.579
None	.192 (.022)	.228 (.019)	.239 (.018)	.147	.226 (.018)	.240 (.018)	.552	.231 (.014)	.169 <i>(.030)</i>	.070	.092 (.031)	.169 (.030)	.031	.231 (.014)	.164 (.037)	.192 (.036)	.157
Less than once a month	.288 (.027)	.251 (.013)	.232 (.017)	.209	.247 (.012)	.253 (.019)	.811	.249 (.011)	.304 (.039)	.179	.325 (.055)	.304 (.039)	.804	.249 (.011)	.324 (.043)	.206 (.036)	.096
1-3 days per month	.151 (.018)	.168 (.014)	.177 (.017)	.535	.165 <i>(.010)</i>	.155 (.014)	.517	.162 (.009)	.282 (.043)	.009	.221 (.032)	.282 (.043)	.342	.162 (.009)	.278 (.051)	.302 (.065)	.011
1-2 days per week	.185 (.021)	.188 (.012)	.155 (.016)	.253	.190 (.012)	.158 (.015)	.083	.179 <i>(.010)</i>	.128 (.015)	.006	.122 (.037)	.128 (.015)	.894	.179 (.010)	.124 (.018)	.146 <i>(.045)</i>	.023
3-4 days per week	.071 (.011)	.081 (.009)	.087 (.011)	.538	.077 (.006)	.090 (.012)	.360	.081 (.005)	.046 (.014)	.024	.123 (.042)	.046 (.014)	.063	.081 (.005)	.034 (.014)	.104 (.035)	.009
Nearly every day	.114 (.018)	.083 (.009)	.110 (.014)	.148	.096 (.010)	.104 (.015)	.671	.098 (<i>.008</i>)	.072 (.024)	.323	.117 (.035)	.072 (.024)	.295	.098 (<i>.008</i>)	.077 (.030)	.050 (.018)	.071
Substance abuse	.119 (.016)	.108 (.009)	.126 (.012)	.511	.111 (.007)	.127 (.015)	.293	.116 <i>(.007)</i>	.044 (.013)	.000	.215 (.054)	.044 (.013)	.009	.116 (.007)	.045 (.017)	.039 (.016)	.000
Physical activity	2.66 (.033)	2.71 (.023)	2.72 (.033)	.377	2.69 (.021)	2.71 (.035)	.734	2.70 (.021)	2.81 (.032)	.004	2.74 (.105)	2.81 (.032)	.457	2.70 (.021)	2.86 (.037)	2.64 (.052)	.000
Observations ⁶	1,019	2,289	1,698		2,342	1,114		3,456	1,157		427	1,157		3,456	794	363	
1 These colums include all Black 2 These colums only include Afr 3 These colums include African 4 These colums only include Black 5 These colums include African 6 These colums include African in the number of observations is	ks (Afrcian Am ican Americans Americans and ick immigrants Americans and for each colum	ericans and Bla s (all Black imn first generatior (no African Arr first generatior before lossing of American Lit	ack immigran nigrants are e n Black immi nericans are i n Black immi cases as a n 2001-3 (20	ts, both gen excluded, bo grants (Seco ncluded). grants (no S esult on nor	erations). th generations) ond-generation econd-generation econd-generation esparch on Bla	Black immigra Black immigra on Black imm he measure o	ants are exc igrants are i f health beh	luded). ncluded). avior.	an)								

overall mean for drinking, there are some differences between African Americans and Black immigrants at specific levels of drinking.

The generational status of Black immigrants appears to be important for understanding several important health behaviors. Second generation Black immigrants have great BMIs than first generation Black immigrants. They are also more likely to report being current smokers. While the relationship is not statistically significant, a larger sample size might result in an association between generational status and drinking that might show that the second generation is more likely to drink than the first generation. Certainly, the second generation has a lower probability of reporting that they never drink and a higher probability of reporting that they drink 3-4 days per week. The second generation is also more likely to report substance abuse when compared to the first generation.

The final set of columns attempt to approximate the relationship between country of birth and health behaviors. Since the NSAL does not have representative numbers from a multiplicity of Caribbean countries, we group countries according to whether English is the official language of the country. In general, English-speaking Black immigrants have better health behaviors than African Americans and non-English-peaking Black immigrants. This pattern holds for BMI and smoking behavior but it is less clear for other health behaviors. In other cases, it seems as those the primary distinction is between African Americans and Black immigrants, without regard for language.

Environmental Exposure

There is a growing recognition among researchers that environmental context is important to understanding health and health behaviors (e.g., Richardson et al. 2015). A large and growing body of research suggests that where you work, live and play are essential to health outcomes (Diez Roux 2012; Takeuchi et al. 2016; Williams and Collins 2001). Indeed, it has been argued that racial residential segregation is a fundamental cause of disease (Williams and Mohammed 2009). For racial and ethnic minorities and individuals living in poverty, environmental factors are often directly and indirectly associated with poorer health outcomes. Historical trends show that Blacks have occupied spaces that are typically urban or rural and commonly segregated due to poor socio-economic conditions and circumstances stemming from a legacy of discrimination and practices that include restrictive zoning laws (Massey 2001; Taylor 2014). These discriminatory laws have had lingering effects on residential patterns (Taylor 2014). Although residential segregation has declined over time, many Blacks continue to reside in these environments, even when more advantaged socioeconomic standing is achieved. In many instances, residential segregation further compounds social disadvantage and results in increased poverty rates among Black Americans, further relegating them to less desirable areas (Massey 2001). An estimated 70% of Blacks reside in segregated Black neighborhoods, while 40% to 50% reside in hyper-segregated neighborhoods (Frey 2015; Landrine and Coral 2009; Massey 2001).

In the following sections, we summarize the relationship between specific components of a given environment (e.g., environmental pollutants, build environment factors, exposure to deleterious conditions, and stress) and health outcomes, showing how these patterns vary by our analytic domains within the U.S. Black population when such findings and data are available. As was the case for our discussion of health behaviors, there is a dearth of research on variations in health-related environmental exposures by skin color and internal migration within the U.S. Black population. As such, we only review the literature on variations in these exposures by birthplace and immigrant generational status.

Environmental pollutants

Scholars have associated residential segregation with exposure to poor housing quality and environmental hazards (Williams 1999). For example, exposure to poor quality housing may expose individuals to lead poisoning, which studies have linked to neuropsychological impairment and developmental disabilities (e.g. Baghurst et al. 1992; Hicken, Gragg and Hu 2011) Moreover, due to increased poverty rates, many Blacks tend to reside in neighborhoods that are in close proximity to toxic waste dumps, freeways and other environmental locations that may expose them to toxins, arsenic, sulphur, and dioxide (Braveman, Egerter and Williams 2011; Brown 1995; Mays, Cochrane and Barnes 2007; Ross and Mirowsky 2000; Williams and Collins 2001). Daily exposure to threatening and noxious environmental elements erodes health and causes chronic diseases and death (Ross and Mirowsky 2001; Williams and Collins 2001).

Built environment factors

Environments or neighborhoods can affect health in ways other than exposing populations to poor air quality, toxins, hazards and other dangers. Environments can present physical characteristics that can encourage or discourage healthy behaviors. Neighborhoods, for instance, that are within proximity to parks, recreational facilities, health clinics and supermarkets may provide access and opportunities for healthy diet and exercise which may aid in reducing health risks, e.g. cardiovascular disease, osteoporosis, colon cancer, high blood pressure, and diabetes (Arcaya et al. 2016; Diez Roux 2011; Landrine and Carrol 2009; Ross and Mirosky 2001). Moreover, being in close proximity to pharmacies where medications are easily accessible is essential to the health of individuals who suffer from chronic illnesses and diseases.

Unfortunately, limited access to facilities and spaces (i.e., parks, supermarkets, safe streets) common to segregated and disadvantaged neighborhoods that Blacks tend to reside in can negatively influence choices in health and health behaviors. Some studies, in fact, have found that residents in deprived (disadvantaged) neighborhoods are less likely to exercise regularly and consume vegetables (Arcaya et al. 2016; Landrine and Carrol 2009). Poor consumption habits can increase risk for obesity and other predisposing bad health conditions. Researchers have associated obesity and being overweight with coronary heart disease, high blood pressure, stroke, type 2 diabetes, osteoarthritis and cancer (Bianchini, Kaaks and Vainio 2002; Rahmouni et al. 2005; Sarikaya et al. 2011; Van Gaal, Mertens, and De Block 2006). Recent estimates suggest approximately half of African Americans meet criteria for obesity (Flegal et al. 2002; Ogden et al. 2013).

Built environment factors by birthplace and immigrant generational status

Although U.S. Blacks reside in racially segregated communities, it is unclear specifically how they are challenged by some of the physical characteristics/features of the environment that might facilitate or impede healthier choices. Data from the NSAL (see Table 3 below) indicate that a higher percentage of Caribbean Blacks, as compared to African Americans report having parks in their neighborhoods. (86.5% vs. 87.1%; p < .001), supermarkets (89.0% vs. 73.0%, p < .001; p < .001) and medical clinics in their neighborhood (78.2% vs. 67.5%, p < .001). The proportion of respondents who have parks (87.1% vs. 86.5%), supermarkets (93.4% vs. 81.2%; p < .001), and medical clinic in their neighborhood (82.1% vs. 71.5%; p < .05) is higher for foreign-born Caribbean blacks in comparison to U.S. born Caribbean Blacks.

Additionally, relative to first or second generation Caribbean Blacks, a lower percentage of third generation Caribbean blacks (77.2% vs. 92.8% vs. 87.1%; p < .001) reside in neighborhoods with parks. In relation to the presence of a supermarket in the neighborhood, significantly lower rates are found among third generation Caribbean Blacks in comparison to first and second generations (70.3% vs. 93.4% vs. 88.5%; p < .001). Similarly, the presence of medical clinics in neighborhoods was lower among third generation Caribbean blacks compared to first and second generations (57% vs. 82.1% vs. 82.1%; p < .001).

Neighborhood Characteristic	African American %	Caribbean Black %	U.S. Born Black %	Foreign Born Black %	First Gen %	Second Gen %	Third Gen %
Parks	73.2	86.8	86.5	87.1	87.1	92.8	77.2
Supermarket	73.0	89.9	81.2	93.4	93.4	88.5	70.3
Medical Clinic	67.5	78.2	71.5	82.1	82.1	81.5	57.0

Table 3. Selected Built Environmental Factors by Domains of Disaggregation

Source: The National Survey of American Life

Exposure to drugs, alcohol, and violence

The degree to which drugs and alcohol are present in a given community can also influence the propensity for residents to engage in these behaviors. Omnipresent characteristics of segregated and disadvantaged communities, particularly in urban areas, are drug activity on the streets and the high numbers of alcohol outlets. Illicit drug use and sale is more prevalent in African American neighborhoods than it is in White neighborhoods (Arcaya et al. 2016; Landrine and Carrol 2009). Increased exposure to drugs and alcohol not only reduce negative perceptions of substance usage but also increase the likelihood that individuals will use or abuse them. In particular, research suggests that individuals within these spaces are more likely to smoke cigarettes (Chartier and Caetano 2010) and a growing body of literature has associated high alcohol density with morbidity, shorter life expectancy and premature death (Matheson et al., 2014). In part, because of the volume of these types of establishments in underserved neighborhoods, Blacks, in comparison to Whites are more likely to report alcohol dependency symptoms (Chartier and Caetano 2010). Cirrhosis of the liver has become one alcohol-attributed diseases that has dire consequences for some groups in comparison to others. As compared to Whites, Blacks and Hispanics are more likely to develop liver disease and other alcohol related esophagus and pancreatic diseases (Chartier and Caetano 2010; Polednak 2007; Yang et al. 2008).

The pathway through which alcohol consumption may affect criminal activity and community violence in segregated and underserved neighborhoods has also been suggested (Williams and Collins 2001). A number of studies have found an association between alcohol outlet density and exposure to violence (Branas et al. 2011). In a study focused on Washington, D.C., Franklin and co-authors (2010) found that alcohol outlets were related to violence, including robbery, assault and sexual violence.

While there might be a reciprocal relationship between alcohol density and community violence, violence in and of itself in Black communities has been receiving more national attention due to fluctuating homicide rates. Blacks are disproportionately affected by homicide (Cooper and Smith 2011; Harrell 2007). Living in urban environments increases the risk for exposure to violence (Buka, Stichick and Earls 2001). Black youth are more at risk for violent exposure and victimization than their White counterparts. Black youth are more likely to be victims of robbery and violent crimes (Harrell 2007). In recent years police violence is also a major concern in many Black neighborhoods.

The exposure to violence not only increases the risk for perpetration (within communities and households), but can also have various health and social consequences. Exposure to violence has been known to increase the risk for physical injury and mental health disorders. such as substance abuse, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression and grief (Clark et al. 2007; Lacey and Mouzon 2016; Ross and Mirowsky 2001; Stockdale et al. 2007; Williams and Williams- Morris 2000). It may also create fear among community members that hinders their ability to engage in daily activities that may reduce other health risks.

Exposure to drugs and violence by birthplace and immigrant generational status

The extent to which there might be variation within the Black population relating to exposure to drugs and crime in their neighborhood is unclear. Data from the NSAL (see Table 4 below) reveals a marginally significant relationship between ethnicity and reports of crime in neighborhoods; percentages were marginally significantly higher among Caribbean Blacks compared to African Americans (86.9% vs. 76.1%; p = .0545). In relation to the nature of drugs in their neighborhood, slightly more Caribbean Blacks compared to African American participants indicated that it was serious (70.2% vs. 67.5%). Prevalence was higher among U.S. Blacks compared to Caribbean Blacks regarding crime in their neighborhoods (87.7% vs. 78.8%). Similarly, significantly more U.S. born Caribbean Blacks compared to foreign-born Caribbean Blacks (76.3% vs. 66.6%; p < .01) reported that drugs are a serious issue in their neighborhood.

In relation to generation status and crime problems in the neighborhood, although lower among third generation in comparison to first and second generations (78.8% vs. 86.3% vs. 89.8%; p = 0.07), the rates did not differ significantly. This was also true for the presence of drug problems in neighborhoods where rates tended to be lower (66% vs. 73.7% vs. 80.3%; p < .05) among first generation in comparison to second and third generations, respectively.

Neighborhood Characteristic	African American %	Caribbean Black %	U.S. Born Black %	Foreign Born Black %	First Gen %	Second Gen %	Third Gen %	
Crime	76.1	81.9	87.7	78.8	78.8	86.3	89.8	
Drug Problem	67.5	70.2	76.3	66.6	66.6	73.7	80.3	

 Table 4. Selected Crime Factors by Domains of Disaggregation

Source: The National Survey of American Life

Stress and the environment

Multiple and cumulative stress developed from poor environmental quality and exposures to discrimination and violence can have implications for health and health behaviors (Diez Roux 2012). There are various pathways in which stress can affect health directly and indirectly. Stress can weaken the immune system and predispose individuals to risk for infections and diseases (Massey 2004; McEwen 1998). Similarly, the effects of stress can lead to risky coping behaviors in order to relieve the stress and escape their reality (Diez Roux 2011). For instance, stressed individuals might overeat or abuse alcohol to cope with the stress (Dallman et al. 2003; Diez Roux 2011). Stressors from poor living conditions and perceptions of the environment can also increase allostatic loads (a summary measure of biological reactions to stress exposure; McEwen, 1998; Ross and Mirowsky 2001). The "wear and tear" of exposure to stressors have been associated with hypertension and other cardiovascular diseases. For Blacks, multiple stressors may be normative resulting from poverty, pollution, deteriorating housing, discrimination and violence, increasing their risk for poorer health outcomes (Strenthal, Slopen and Williams 2011). A more detailed presentation of domain variation in stress biomarkers is discussed in the next section.

There seems to be little doubt that environmental factors have direct and indirect effects on physical and mental health statuses and well-being in general. It is also clear from our brief review of a voluminous literature that these consequences differ by major population groups (e.g., Blacks and Whites), and, more directly to our interests, within and across the proposed domains of disaggregation of the Black population.

Biology

Disaggregating the Black population can also provide insight into potential biological determinants of health (e.g., Cooper 2004). Since the grouping of peoples into sub-populations based on a certain set of racialized physical features necessarily results in the aggregation of great biological diversity, comparing sub-groups within the larger Black population allows for the study of biological factors while 'holding race constant.' Conceptualizing health status as the outcome of multi-system and long term processes, we specifically review genetic factors and biomarkers associated with the stress response. Moreover, stress, particularly chronic stress, is considered an important driver of health difference both within and across populations. We also consider and observe the ways in which biomarkers vary along our population domains.

While genetics play an important role in the pathway to disease risk and development at the individual level, we know much less about the genetic contribution to disparities in population health, racial or otherwise (Cooper 2004). That is, while population differences in monogenic diseases are relatively easy to detect, the role of genetics in disease risk is often more complex and polygenic. As most diseases have polygenetic risk profiles, the likelihood that two populations have unique distributions of the allele configurations associated with disease risk is rather small (Cooper 2004). As a result, it is unlikely that the primary source of racial or ethnic disparities in health is population genetics. However, it may also be too early to completely rule out a role for genomics writ large. The basic methodologies of population genetics are still in their infancy and we are still unraveling the complex role of genomics in population health.

One of the more important factors to consider moving forward is that very few genetic mapping studies include large samples of the U.S. Black population. Indeed, most do not include any Blacks. This is important because those with greater proportions of African genetic ancestry have greater genetic density and variation. In fact, scientists have only recently designed chips that are able to accurately read the dense genetic information found in populations with high concentrations of African ancestry. Since most Black populations have high levels of African-ancestry profiles are less confounded by conflations between 'genetics and racial categorization' and can therefore shed greater light on genetics and population health, controlling for racial categorization (Yudell et al 2016).

In addition to this limitation in data and methods, we are only now beginning to understand factors that necessarily complicate the relationship between genetic profiles and disease risk, namely: gene methylation and expression. In short, these emerging fields of inquiry suggest that two people or populations exposed to different sociocultural and environments with similar genetic profiles have different genomic risk profiles. For example, if there are similarities

in genetic risk profiles for hypertension between native and foreign-born Blacks, but different rates of hypertension across Black nativity, one might speculate that differential resources, environments or health behaviors might be responsible for different rates of hypertension.

With respect to methods, most of the statistical approaches to studying genomic associations are parametric and rooted in multivariate regression. However, the nature of genomic data might best be analyzed using the contextual analytic methods used in analyses of "big data." Among other reasons, these methods are nonparametric, require fewer assumptions – are therefore less rigid – and allow for the discovery of complex interactions that are impossible to detect when using parametric regression models. As these statistical techniques continue to come online, scientists might discover a greater role for genetics in population health.

In the end, collecting representative genomic information from populations with high levels of African ancestry and then assessing disparities within this population across the population domains we are proposing may result in a more refined understanding of the role – limited or otherwise – that population genomics plays in disparities in population health. Given limited data availability and current uncertainty concerning the role of genomics in population health disparities, we focus our review of biological explanations for population health disparities on biomarkers that are associated with sociocultural and environmental stress.

Stress biomarkers

In this section we briefly review emerging research on the pathways that link the social context to stress through the observation of stress-related biological markers. There is a growing area of research that employs biomarkers as a means of identifying the biological mechanisms that may link social conditions to physical health (Chang et al. 2008; Crimmins and Seeman 2001; Ewbank 2008; Finch and Vaupel 2001; Lindau and McDade 2008; Steptoe and Marmot 2002). In one use, the term 'biomarker' refers to the collection of biological information in social surveys. Examples include markers for the stress response (e.g., cortisol), immune functioning (e.g., C-reactive protein and interleukin 6), cardiovascular system (e.g., heart rate, blood pressure) and metabolism (e.g., body mass index, hemoglobin A1c). Biomarkers represent theoretical lynchpins; they are influenced by the overall social context and in turn are linked to specific morbidities. This evidence is limited relative to other predictors of health status discussed above, due to the scarcity of available data. However, early evidence shows variation in biomarkers across our four Black population domains.

The concept of stress is central in exploring the links between social context and health status. Stress is the product of a disruption in the biological homeostasis of an organism; the stress response represents a set of behavioral and physiological changes that are related to

reestablishing homeostasis in the face of environmental (or internal) threats (Sapolsky 2002). The stress response most likely evolved to confront acute and short-term environmental stressors. Chronic stressors on the other hand have long-term negative, physiological effects for which humans are poorly equipped to adapt. Thus, chronic stress may be more important in understanding the types of health disparities that plague racial and ethnic populations than the effects of acute stressors (McEwen 1998).

There are two key related bodies of research exploring the idea of the accumulation of stress over the life course and its effect on health that are relevant to the Black population. These include "weathering" and "allostatic load." Geronimus' concept of "weathering" suggests that Black Americans' greater experience of various forms of social adversity and marginalization (both sources of chronic stress) leads to an earlier deterioration of physical health. The concept of weathering is useful in explaining racial disparities in physical health as Blacks carry a heavier burden than Whites (Geronimus 2001).

Allostatic load represents a multi-system index of dysregulation across a range of biological systems including the stress response, which originates in the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis (HPA), the sympathetic nervous system (SNS), the cardiovascular system, immune system, and the metabolic system. The premise is that cumulative exposure to stress across the life course translates into physiological consequences including greater risk for various diseases and lower life expectancy (Beckie 2012; McEwen 1998; Seeman et al. 2001). Although more recent research has expanded the empirical measures, the overall meaning of allostatic load remains the same; it is intended as a summary measure of a range of biological systems, representing exposure to stress.

Discrimination is a particular form of stress that is uniquely important for understanding health disparities. Research supports the notion that both the psychological and physiological predictors and consequences of discrimination are similar to other psychosocial indicators of stress (Clark et al. 1999; Williams et al. 2008). Measurement of discrimination varies but common elements include both life time and day-to-day experiences with unfair treatment, domains of life in which unfair treatment occurs, frequency of the occurrences, and an assessment of the attribution of unfair treatment (Essed 1991; Lewis, Cogburn, and Williams 2015; Williams et al 1997; Williams and Mohammed 2009). There are expanding streams of research documenting the association between exposure to discrimination, particularly racial discrimination, and a variety of health risk factors and poor health outcomes including hypertension and cardiovascular disease (Lewis et al 2014; Williams and Mohammed 2009).

It is challenging to establish with certainty links between distal markers of the social environment, such as SES and race, with proximal psychosocial and physiological stress

processes that in turn are related to health. There is evidence, however, suggesting that individuals of low SES and underrepresented racial groups are more likely to experience greater stressful life events than high SES groups and Whites, respectively (Baum, Garofalo and Yali,1999; Pearlin et al. 2005). Data is also accumulating regarding the relationship between stress and responses in the endocrine and immune systems (see Miller, Chen and Cole, 2009 for a review). Although results are mixed, there is support that experiences with stress lead to the activation of HPA axis as evidenced by levels of cortisol. Chronic activation of the HPA axis is thought to lead to a cascade of biological processes affecting metabolic and immune systems leading to such shifts as increased markers of inflammation (e.g., measured by C-reactive protein), heightened blood pressure, and heightened hemoglobin levels (Dowd, Simanek and Aiello, 2009; Nazmi and Victoria, 2007; Rosmond, 2005; Rosmond and Bjorntorp, 2000). We give additional attention to the HPA axis because activation of this cascade process has implications for multiple biological systems, including the central nervous and cardiovascular systems, and thus likely has implications for understanding disparities in stress-related health problems (Vreeburg et al. 2009).

Below we describe how stress biomarkers (e.g., allostatic load, cardiovascular and metabolic markers, hypertension, type I diabetes) have been studied along the two of the four specific analytic domains: birth place and skin color. As will be evidenced, few studies exist. We then supplement these studies with our own analysis of data from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health (Add Health). We examine biomarkers disaggregating by skin color, birthplace, and immigrant generational status.

Allostatic load by skin color

Cobb and colleagues (2016) assessed variation on allostatic load among Blacks based on interviewer-rated skin color. The data come from the Nashville Stress and Health Study, which is a representative community sample of 1270 non-Hispanic Black and White adults aged 22 to 69 in the greater Nashville Tennessee metropolitan area. Interviewers rated skin color on a 5-point scale but in analyses the measure was collapsed to three categories: dark, brown, and light. Controlling for age and sex, the data suggest that participants ascribed as having a dark-skin color had a significantly higher allostatic load than those with a light skin color.

Cardiovascular and metabolic markers by skin color

Using data from The National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (Add Health), Wassink and colleagues (2016) examined the relationship between interviewer-assessed skin color and markers of cardiometabolic health. The indicators include: obesity, hypertension, and type 2 diabetic status. Obesity is defined as a BMI (kg/m^2) score of 30 and higher. The definition of hypertension included a systolic blood pressure of at least 140, diastolic blood pressure of 90 or higher, a previous hypertension diagnosis, or prescribed medications for high blood pressure. For diabetic status, the authors used a continuous measure of HbA1c and identified respondents with HbA1c levels of 6.4 or more. In addition, Wassink and colleagues (2016) categorized participants with a prior diagnosis and those being prescribed diabetes medications as diabetic. Interviewers assessed skin color on a 5-point scale: black, dark-brown, medium-brown, light brown, and white. For analyses among blacks, the white and light brown categories were collapsed. Although not always linear, the patterns for the individual markers of cardiometabolic health suggest that participants ascribed with a skin color of black had the highest indicators of cardiometabolic health. Parallel results were found for the cardiometabolic index: relative to respondents categorized as being white or light-brown, participants ascribed as being black had a higher cardiometabolic score.

Allostatic load by birthplace

Using data from the 2001-2010 NHANES, Doamekpor and Dinwiddie (2015) calculated an 8-item version of allostatic load, including the following markers: systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood, pressure, 60-second pulse, c-reactive protein, high-density lipoprotein, total cholesterol, creatinine clearance, and serum albumin. The analytic sample excluded pregnant women and individuals below the age of 20; the resulting sample size included 2,745 U.S.-born Blacks and 152 foreign-born Blacks. The results suggested that a higher proportion of U.S. born Blacks than foreign-born Blacks were high on allostatic load. In addition, among the foreign-born Blacks, there was a positive association between length of stay in the U.S. and increased allostatic load.

Cardiovascular and metabolic markers by birthplace

Research on cardiovascular and metabolic markers also demonstrate the validity of birthplace as a meaningful domain for disaggregation. Lancaster and colleagues (2006) use data from the third wave of the NHANES (1988-1994) and include all participants who self-identified as Black. The results suggest that both foreign-born non-Hispanic Blacks and foreign-born Hispanic Blacks had lower levels of total serum cholesterol and HDL cholesterol than their counterparts born in the U.S.

Metabolic syndrome is a summary index used to identify risk for cardiovascular disease and for Type 2 Diabetes (Alberti et al. 2009). Historically, there has been disagreement regarding the exact components, but recently there has been some consensus regarding the definition of metabolic syndrome. The criteria for metabolic syndrome include the presence of three of five factors: central obesity, hypertriglyceridemia, low HDL cholesterol, hypertension, and fasting hyperglycemia. In a small sample (n=95), Ukegbu and colleagues (2011) find that although African American men and Black African immigrant males had similar levels of metabolic syndrome scores; however, particular components of the metabolic syndrome including hypertension and glycemia were higher among Africans.

In a study conducted in Washington D.C. O'Connor and colleagues (2014) that included 214 self-identified healthy Black men (138 African immigrants and 76 African Americans) found differences among African American men versus African immigrant males in predictors of cardiovascular disease and Type 2 Diabetes. BMI and waist circumference were lower among African immigrants; and in contrast, blood pressure and fasting glucose levels were higher among African immigrant males as compared in African American male counter parts.

Hypertension by birthplace

There is research suggesting a relationship between nativity status and prevalence of hypertension and complications from hypertension. Using data from the 1997-2005 NHIS 2005, Borrell and colleagues 2008 assessed the relationship between nativity status and self-reported hypertension (i.e., "Has a doctor ever told you...")⁴. The sample included 289,767 individuals aged 18 and above. The results show that foreign-born Blacks had lower rates of self-reported rates of hypertension than U.S. born Blacks.

Through the use of the 1988-1994 NHANES, Hicks et al. (2003) sought to evaluate the associations between both U.S. region of residence (South vs. Northeast, Midwest, and West) and immigrant status with hypertension and related complications from hypertension. The analytic sample included 3,369 Black individuals between the ages of 30 and 79. In multivariate analyses, controlling for a range of demographic and health status indicators, the researchers found that Black immigrant women were significantly less likely than Black women living in the North to have hypertension. In addition, the analyses suggest that among women with hypertension, that

⁴ Note that self-reported hypertension is not a biomarker but is highly correlated with measured hypertension. Due to the limited research in this area we chose to include this study in this review.

Black immigrant participants had lower prevalence of hypertension related organ damage than U.S. born women.

Linking individual-level data from the New York City Community Health Survey (2002-2005) to neighborhood-level U.S. Census data, White and colleagues (2011) examined the relationship between levels of segregation and self-reported hypertension among U.S.-born Blacks and foreign-born Blacks. This study included 4,499 individuals aged 18 and above. Broadly speaking, the measure of segregation represents the potential for interaction among Blacks and non-Blacks within the local community and adjacent communities (Wong 2002). The results suggest that after adjusting for individual level covariates (e.g., age, sex, education) and neighborhood-level characteristics (e.g., percent of population in poverty), levels of segregation was not associated with self-reported hypertension among U.S.-born Blacks or among foreignborn Blacks under the age of 65. However, foreign-born Blacks over the age of 65 and residing in highly segregated areas had a lower probability of reporting hypertension than older foreign-born Blacks living in low segregation communities.

Type I diabetes by birthplace

As part of a dissertation research project, O'Connor (2013) compared rates of Type 1 diabetes between U.S. immigrant and nonimmigrant Black youth in King County, Washington. Pediatric patients diagnosed with T1D and seen at SCH on at least one occasion between January 1, 2000, and July 31, 2011, were identified. Since East African immigrants represented over 90% of Black immigrant youth with T1D at SCH, the immigrant sample was restricted to this group. East African immigrant Black youth represented 28.1% of the overall Black population between 0 and 17 years old in King County, but accounted for 60.2% of T1D cases among Black youth in the county (see Table 3.3). The estimated prevalence rate of T1D among immigrant Black youth ages 0–17 was more than 3.5 times the rate among non-immigrant Black youth ages 0–17.

Add Health: A detailed empirical example of variations in allostatic load by analytic domain

In this section, we extend previous discussions of allostatic load and provide a detailed examination of data from Add Health (See Appendix A for description of these data) in which we disaggregate allostatic load using three different domains including skin color, birthplace (nativity and country/region of origin), and immigrant generational status.

In Table 5 we use data from Add Health to examine stress biomarkers by domain. All measures in our analysis come from the universe of Black respondents present at Wave IV (N=2957). We measure allostatic load using an abbreviated measure based on analyses by

Wickrama et al. (2015). Standardized, continuous scores (z-scores) were summed for six biomarkers of cardiovascular and metabolic systems. The biomarkers assessed here include: systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, glycohemoglobin (HbA1c), glucose, and body mass index (BMI). Systolic and diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) and pulse rate measurements were taken on the right arm, absent contraindications in a rested/seated position by trained field interviewers using oscillometric blood pressure monitors. Using standard procedures, trained and certified interviewers obtained whole blood spots for dried blood analysis. From these samples, HbA1c, an integrated measure of blood glucose control over the preceding 2-3 months, and total glucose values were assayed. Trained interviewers also obtained measurements of respondents' height and weight, and this information was used to compute their BMI, the ratio of weight in kilograms to height in meters squared ([lbs/in.2] × 703; Wickrama et al. 2015).

Domain variables are imputed from Wave I and Wave III of Add Health. Skin color is measured at Wave III. Wave III data collection, conducted in 2001 and 2002, asks interviewers to record the respondent's skin color. Interviewers are able to indicate whether the respondents skin color is White, Light Brown, Medium Brown, Dark Brown, or Black. Nativity, generational status, and country of origin variables were constructed using data from the Wave I parent and inhome interviews, both conducted in 1994 and 1995. We use both interviews to increase the validity of responses. Nativity is based on whether or not a respondent was born in the U.S. Generational status for black immigrants is defined as first generation or second generation. A respondent is a first generation black immigrant if neither they nor their parent was not. Lastly, country of origin is defined as the U.S., English-speaking countries, or non-Englishspeaking countries. Whether a sending country is defined as English-speaking or non-Englishspeaking is determined by the national language listed on the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) World Factbook (https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/). Respondents born in the U.S. are listed as African American in Table 5.

Within our sample, allostatic load scores range from a minimum score of -7.88 to a maximum score of 33.52. In interpreting these results, note that scores that are more negative indicate a lower allostatic load score while scores that are more positive indicate a higher allostatic load score. In regards to skin color, respondents who are recorded as having a "black" skin color had the highest allostatic load score while those respondents who are recorded as having a "white" skin color had the lowest allostatic load score. In fact, our results show that the darker your skin color, the higher your allostatic load score will be (p < 0.001).

Та	ible 5.	Unadjust	Unadjusted Means and Standard Errors of Allostatic Load and Construct Components for Selected Disaggregations for the U.S. Black Population ^{1,2}															_
				Skin Co	olor ³				Nativity ³			ational Sta	tus ⁴	Country of Birth ^{3,5}				
		White	Light Brown	Medium Brown	Dark Brown	Black	f	Native	Foreign	f	Second	First	f	AfAm	Eng	non-Eng	f	
AI	lostatic Load	-0.54 (0.63)	-0.56 (0.14)	-0.20 (0.12)	0.16 (0.14)	0.46 (0.17)	.000	0.05 (0.07)	-1.18 (0.36)	.006	-1.03 (0.31)	-1.62 (0.31)	.000	0.05 (0.07)	-2.86 (0.58)	-0.85 (0.41)	.022	
Co	onstruct Components																	
	Systolic Blood Pressure	122.44 (2.39)	122.58 (0.64)	125.22 (0.49)	125.45 (0.50)	126.72 (0.55)	.002	125.42 (0.27)	120.08 (1.61)	.053	122.68 (1.41)	118.38 (1.57)	.002	125.42 (0.27)	117.12 (4.10)	120.91 (1.78)	.081	
	Diastolic Blood Pressure	76.79 (1.82)	78.31 (0.47)	79.27 (0.37)	79.51 <i>(0.39)</i>	80.20 (0.40)	.000	79.48 (0.20)	77.07 (1.12)	.001	77.10 (1.00)	75.58 (1.04)	.002	79.48 (0.20)	75.62 (3.39)	77.38 (1.19)	.005	
	Pulse Rate	73.15 (2.09)	74.06 (0.58)	74.25 (0.38)	74.69 (0.41)	74.35 (0.43)	.492	74.39 (0.22)	72.62 (1.09)	.184	72.21 (1.08)	71.39 (1.30)	.011	74.39 (0.22)	70.71 (2.30)	72.77 (1.24)	.190	
	Glycohemoglobin	5.68 (0.09)	5.74 (0.03)	5.78 (0.02)	5.96 (0.05)	6.04 (0.05)	.000	5.00 (0.02)	5.76 (0.07)	.325	5.62 (0.44)	5.73 (0.06)	.007	5.00 (0.02)	5.51 (0.12)	5.82 (0.08)	.464	
	Glucose	102.44 (4.00)	102.43 (1.28)	102.44 (0.94)	104.23 (1.18)	107.22 (2.03)	.012	104.28 (0.74)	101.21 (2.34)	.487	98.76 (1.77)	100.96 (2.42)	.111	104.28 (0.74)	99.36 (4.21)	101.58 (2.77)	.526	
	Body Mass Index	31.64 (1.34)	29.67 (0.36)	30.40 (0.28)	31.35 (0.32)	30.83 (0.30)	.019	30.74 (0.16)	28.62 (0.82)	.027	29.78 (0.67)	27.77 (0.90)	.050	30.74 (0.16)	26.94 (1.92)	29.12 (0.91)	.069	
Overweight & Obesity ⁶ BMI≥ 25		0.86 (0.06)	0.72 (0.02)	0.72 (0.01)	0.75 <i>(0.02)</i>	0.75 (0.02)	.286	0.74 (0.01)	0.63 <i>(0.05)</i>	.032	0.74 (0.04)	0.60 (0.07)	.512	0.74 (0.01)	0.62 (0.14)	0.66 (0.06)	.088	
Oł	besity ⁶ BMI≥ 30	0.54 (0.09)	0.41 (0.02)	0.43 (0.02)	0.49 (0.02)	0.47 (0.02)	.047	0.46 (0.01)	0.33 (0.05)	.021	0.40 (0.05)	0.30 (0.06)	.055	0.46 (0.01)	0.31 (0.13)	0.34 (0.06)	.041	
Oł	oservations ⁷	35	414	914	806	783		2875	82		117	57		2875	13	67		
	1 Data in this table is from the 2 Black respondents were ider 3 These columns include all Bl 4 These columns only include 5 Country of origin is defined a 6 Openwight and Obesity are	National Longi tified based or acks [African / Black immigra s the United S reported in pro	tudinal Survey self-reported Americans (Af nts (no African tates (AfAm), portions Star	r of Adolescent race (N = 295) Am) and Black Americans au English-speaki ordard Erroes au	to Adult Hea 7). Minimum immigrants, re included). ing countries, re in parenthe	Ith (Add Healt) age is 25 and both generatic or non-Englis)	h; Carolina I maximum a ns]. h-speaking	Population Cen Ige is 34. countries.	ter at the Uniw	ersity of No	rth Carolina at (Chapel Hill), V	/ave 4. Star	ndard Errors are	in parenthes	es.		

Overweight and Obesity are reported in proportions. Standard Erroes are in parentheses.
 The number of observations is for each column before losing cases as a result on non-response on the measure of health behavior.

Respondents who are foreign-born have significantly lower allostatic load scores than their native born peers (p < 0.01) and first-generation black immigrants have significantly lower scores than their second-generation counterparts (p < 0.001). Lastly, the association between country of origin and allostatic load shows that respondents from English speaking countries have the lowest scores, while African Americans have the highest (p < 0.05). However, only 13 respondents are immigrants from English speaking countries, so small sample size may be biasing this result. The small sample size of both immigrants from English speaking countries (N=13) and black respondents with a "white" skin color (N=35) as well as foreign-born blacks (N=82), first generation black immigrants (N=57), and immigrants from non-English speaking countries (N=67) showcases a need for sampling designs that will increased the representation of these groups in national surveys.

In summary, although the use of biological data in the service of understanding sources of disparities is relatively new, a relatively large number of studies find support, especially implicating chronic stress and its physiological sequelae as a major culprit. Again, though little research has examined the domains of black sub-group differences we have proposed to disaggregate the black population, what has been done (e.g., skin color) shows promising results in being an important source of variation in health status and increasing our understanding of sources of overall sub-population (e.g., Black and White) differences and disparities.

RECOMMENDATIONS

In this report we identified four analytic population domains within the U.S. Black population that have utility for understanding the principal causes of health and health disparities. These domains include: skin color, internal migration, birthplace, and immigrant generational status. Unfortunately, as evidenced in this report, we currently have limited data to examine these important sources of heterogeneity and related associations. Therefore, we argue that there is a pressing need to collect nationally representative data that focuses on the incredibly diverse and dynamic U.S. Black population and that such studies can improve our understanding of the mechanisms that underwrite larger population trends. Such data collection efforts can build on prior success in collecting data on the U.S. Black population carried out over the last 35 years at the Program for Research on Black Americans (PRBA). Studies collected at the PRBA have been able to successfully collect high quality and nationally representative data on the U.S. Black population. These studies have been instrumental in increasing knowledge regarding health risk and protective factors. We see our suggestions as ways to add to these important innovations. Below we outline various approaches to operationalizing data collection on these population domains and strongly recommend that various national data collection efforts begin to gather these important data.

Skin Color

Our review of existing studies shows as association between skin color and health. Moreover, variation in skin color is associated with variation in resources, health behaviors, environmental exposures, and biological processes. Future studies should therefore collect data on skin color among U.S. Blacks. There are important things that researchers should consider when evaluating which operational definition to adopt. Most importantly is the hypothetical mechanism that a researcher suspects might be responsible for the association between skin color and health. For instance, if a researcher believes that skin color is associated discrimination and or blocked opportunities then it will be important to collect data in a way that accounts for the color that others perceive. In order to capture the assessment of skin color by others, a researcher might use one of the various measures of interviewer-assessed skin color. While such measures capture perception, researchers might also compliment these assessments with the use of more objective spectrophotometer assessments on socially relevant parts of the body (i.e., somewhere on the face as opposed to under the arm). Researchers should also be mindful that studies suggest that intergroup relations are more often shaped by skin color categories as opposed to color on a continuous spectrum. Conversely, if researchers are more interested in the role of skin color in shaping health behaviors, personal decisions and or stigma in health, researchers might collect information using various measures of self-reported skin color. Finally, to the extent that "natural" skin color (that is unaffected by the sun) might correlate with percentage African ancestry, preliminary studies of the association between African ancestry and health might use spectrophotometers under the arm (or on other parts of the body that are not exposed to sunlight).

Our general recommendation is that studies include both objective and subjective measures of skin color. In order to reduce confounding, analyses of these data should also include information on region and season of survey administration. Ideally, researchers might use the longitude and latitude of the survey location in order to mark distance from the equator. Moreover, when using interviewer assessments, studies should include basic demographic characteristics and the skin color of the interviewer as these are associated with the perception of skin color. Research designs that gather information on interviewers should collect said information independently of fielded surveys (following protocols used in Add Health).

Internal Migration

Our review highlights significant variation across the principal causes by internal migration status, including lifetime and recent moves, among blacks in the U.S. (e.g., Hamilton 2015). Given this heterogeneity, we recommend surveys attempting to understand the causes of health disparities among Blacks include a standard set of questions assessing internal migration. Surveys should include questions that allow researchers to observe whether the respondent has migrated to their current place of residence within the last 1, 3, 5 or 10 years. In addition, surveys should include questions that assess location of birth (i.e., the name of the city or town). Researchers might also include questions that would allow for the observation of the number and location of respondent migrations. For example, surveyors might query respondents on the cities or towns in which they have lived and at what age the respondent migrated. Gathering information on the role the respondent played in each move might provide additional insights and – if the migrant played a central role in the decision – a set of response options listing common factors associated with internal migration might be useful in parsing self-selection mechanisms (e.g., employment or education).

Birthplace

Our review shows an association between nativity and health by place of birth. That is, research shows that, upon arrival in the U.S., immigrants tend to have more favorable health profiles than their native-born counterparts. Of the analytic domains that we propose, birthplace is the most commonly studied. However, researchers most often collect data on whether or not the respondent was born in the U.S., leaving questions about the context of the sending country and the role of self-selection poorly understood. However, research also shows the health of immigrant populations varies by sending region and state and by tenure of U.S. residence (Hamilton 2013, Hamilton 2015). Studies also show that the process of assimilation for immigrants varies by their age at time of immigration (Kimbro 2009; Portes and Rumbaut 2006). Therefore, questions that allow for the observation of birthplace should include questions concerning: the country of birth; the state, city or town of birth; the year of migration to the U.S.; age of migration to the U.S.; and reason for migration to the U.S. As with internal migration, researchers might also include a question or set of questions that are designed to obverse the selection mechanism for immigration to the U.S. (e.g., family reunification, education, employment, political asylum). Finally, it might be useful to gather information on the social, political and economic status of any given immigrant before migration (e.g., occupational status, earnings and or political affiliation).

Immigrant Generational Status

Our review identified important variation in health by immigrant generational status. To better understand this dimension of health among Blacks in the U.S., we recommend that future surveys collect information on generational status. There are at least two different ways to collect information on generational status. First, a few existing studies collect data in a ways that situates the respondent at the end of a three generational family. That is, surveyors ask respondents about the birthplace of their parents and grandparents. This allows researchers to know how many generations a given family has lived in the U.S. We recommend a revision to this question format. Surveys should also ask respondents to identify the birthplace of their children. More recently, researchers have explored another way to study the relationship between generational status and health outcomes in a more dynamic fashion (Jackson and Hatchett 1986, Jackson, Caldwell, and Sellers 2012). That is, a recently fielded study design allows for the development of a representative sample of three generational families. First, surveyors asked respondents if they have a living grandchild, child, parent or grandparent. Then, surveyors ask respondents to provide contact information for their family members based on how the respondent might fit within a living three generational family. Researchers then sample three generational families (where the initial or focal respondent might be either the grandchild, parent or grandparent). This method allows researchers to gather nationally representative data on contiguous threegenerational families in the U.S. and facilitates a more dynamic assessment of immigrant generational status.

CONCLUSION

This review has made a case for the need to collect or develop questions or methods in four domains to provide additional knowledge of sources of health variation within the Black population. We believe that more sophisticated examinations of within race variation can add important insights relating to the origins of health disparities across race groups as well. It is important to note that our review largely focused on physical health. However, these domains are relevant to mental health outcomes, such as depression and anxiety. For example, risk of depression and depressive symptoms varies greatly by skin color. It is also important to note that our analysis did not focus on individuals who identify as mixed race (i.e., individuals who identify as Black and one or more other races). This is an important population given the rapidly growing population of individuals that identify as mixed race in the US. However, a large proportion of African Americans currently are and historically have are of mixed race even if they do not identify as such (Guo et al. 2014). Nonetheless, questions should continue to allow

individuals to report multiple races to explore associations in this growing population. Finally, efforts should be made to collect a new nationally representative longitudinal health and social survey of documented and undocumented Black Americans (both native- and foreign-born) to better understand health risks and resilience.

REFERENCES

- Acevedo-Garcia, Dolores, Lisa M. Bates, Theresa L. Osypuk, and Nancy McArdle. 2010. "The Effect of Immigrant Generation and Duration on Self-Rated Health among US Adults 2003–2007." Social Science and Medicine 71(6):1161-1172.
- Acevedo-Garcia, Dolores, Jocelyn Pan, Hee-Jin Jun, Theresa L. Osypuk, and Karen M. Emmons. 2005. "The effect of Immigrant Generation on Smoking." *Social Science and Medicine* 61(6): 1223-1242.
- Alba, Richard D., John R. Logan, Brian J. Stults, Gilbert Marzan, and Wenquan Zhang. 1999.
 "Immigrants Groups in the Suburbs: A Re-examination of Suburbanization and Spatial Assimilation." *American Sociological Review* 64 (3): 446-460.
- Alberti, K. G. M. M., Robert H. Eckel, Scott M. Grundy, Paul Z. Zimmet, James I. Cleeman, Karen A. Donato, Jean-Charles Fruchart, W. Philip T. James, Catherine M. Loria, and Sidney C. Smith. 2009. "Harmonizing the Metabolic Syndrome." *Circulation* 120 (16): 1640–1645.
- Anderson, Monica. 2015. "A Rising Share of the U.S. Black Population Is Foreign Born; Percent Are Immigrants; and While Most Are from the Caribbean, Africans Drive Recent Growth." *Pew Research Center* Washington, D.C.(April).
- Antecol, Heather, and Kelly Bedard. 2006. "Unhealthy Assimilation: Why Do Immigrants Converge to American Health Status Levels?" *Demography* 43 (2): 337-360. doi: 10.1353/dem.2006.0011.
- Arcaya, Mariana C., Reginald D. Tucker-Seeley, Rockli Kim, Alina Schnake-Mahl, Marvin So, and S. V. Subramanian. 2016. "Research on Neighborhood Effects on Health in the U.S.: A Systematic Review of Study Characteristics." *Social Science and Medicine* 168: 16-29.
- Armstead, Cheryl A., James R. Hébert, Eugena K. Griffin, and Glenn M. Prince. 2014. "A Question of Color: The Influence of Skin Color and Stress on Resting Blood Pressure and Body Mass among African American Women." *Journal of Black Psychology* 40 (5): 424-450. doi: 10.1177/0095798413494404.

- Baghurst, Peter A., Anthony J. McMichael, Neil R. Wigg, Graham V. Vimpani, Evelyn F.
 Robertson, Russell J. Roberts and Shi-Lu Tong. 1992. "Environmental Exposure to Lead and Children's Intelligence at the Age of Seven Years. The Port Pirie Cohort Study." New England Journal of Medicine 327 (18): 1279-1284.
- Baum, Andrew, J. P. Garofalo, and Ann Marie Yali. 1999. "Socioeconomic Status and Chronic Stress. Does Stress Account for SES Effects on Health?" Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 896: 131–144.
- Beckie, Theresa M. 2012. "A Systematic Review of Allostatic Load, Health, and Health Disparities." *Biological Research For Nursing* 14 (4): 311–346. doi:10.1177/1099800412455688.
- Bennett, Gary G., Kathleen Y. Wolin, Cassandra A. Okechukwu, Carlotta M. Arthur, Sandy Askew, Glorian Sorensen, and Karen M. Emmons. 2008. "Nativity and Cigarette Smoking among Lower Income Blacks: Results from the Healthy Directions Study." *Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health* 10 (4): 305-11. doi: 10.1007/s10903-007-9088-0.
- Bianchini, Franca, Rudolf Kaaks, and Harri Vainio. 2002. "Overweight, Obesity and Cancer Risk." *The Lancet Oncology* 3 (9): 565-574.
- Borrell, Luisa N., Natalie D. Crawford, Debbie S. Barrington, and Koffi N. Maglo. 2008.
 "Black/White Disparity in Self-Reported Hypertension: The Role of Nativity Status." Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved 19 (4): 1148-1162.
- Borrell, Luisa N., Catarina I. Kiefe, David R. Williams, Ana V. Diez-Roux, and Penny Gordon-Larsen. 2006. "Self-Reported Health, Perceived Racial Discrimination, and Skin Color in African Americans in the Cardia Study." *Social Science and Medicine* 63 (6): 1415-1427. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2006.04.008.
- Boyle, Edwin. 1970. "Biological Patterns in Hypertension by Race, Sex, Body Weight, and Skin Color." *Journal of the American Medical Association* 213 (10): 1637-1643.

- Branas, Charles C., Therese S Richmond, Thomas R. Ten Have, and Douglas J. Wiebe. 2011.
 "Acute Alcohol Consumption, Alcohol Outlets, and Gun Suicide." *Substance Use and Misuse 46* (13): 1592–1603.
- Braveman, Paula, Susan Egerter, and David R. Williams. 2011. "The Social Determinants of Health: Coming of Age." Annual Review of Public Health 32: 381-98. doi: 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031210-101218.
- Brown, Phil. 1995. "Race, Class, and Environment: A Review and Systematization of the Literature." *Environmental Research* 69 (1): 15-30.
- Butcher, Kristin F. 1994. "Black immigrants in the United States: A Comparison with Native Blacks and Other Immigrants." *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* 47 (2): 265-284.
- Buka, Stephen, T. L. Stichick, and Felton Earls. 2001. "Youth Exposure to Violence: Prevention, Risk, and Consequences." *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry* 73 (3): 298-310.
- Chae, David H., Amani M. Nuru-Jeter, Nancy E. Adler, Gene H. Brody, Jue Lin, Elizabeth H. Blackburn, and Elissa S. Epel. 2014. "Discrimination, Racial Bias, and Telomere Length in African-American Men." *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 46 (2): 103–111.
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 2016. "Defining Adult Overweight and Obesity". Retrieved January 24, 2017. (https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/adult/defining.html#modalIdString_CDCTable_0)
- Chang, Ming-Cheng., Dana A. Glei, Noreen Goldman, and Maxine Weinstein. 2008. "The Taiwan Biomarker Project." In *Biological Surveys*, edited by Maxine Weinstein, James W. Vaupel, and Kenneth W. Wachter, 60–77. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Chartier, Karen, and Raul Caetano. 2010. "Ethnicity and Health Disparities in Alcohol Research." Alcohol Research and Health 33 (1-2): 152-160.

- Clark, Rodney, Norman B. Anderson, Vernessa R. Clark, and David R. Williams. 1999. "Racism as a Stressor for African Americans. A Biopsychosocial Model." *American Psychologist* 54 (10): 805–816.
- Clark, C., Ryan, L., Kawachi, I., Canner, M. J., Berkman, L., and Wright, R. J. 2008. "Witnessing Community Violence in Residential Neighborhoods: A Mental Health Hazard for Urban Women. *Journal of Urban Health* 85(1), 22-38.
- Cobb, Ryon J., Courtney S. Thomas, Whitney N. Laster Pirtle, and William A. Darity Jr. 2016.
 "Self-Identified Race, Socially Assigned Skin Color, and Adult Physiological Dysregulation: Assessing Multiple Dimensions of 'race' in Health Disparities Research." SSM - Population Health 2 (December): 595–602.
- Commodore-Mensah, Yvonne, Cheryl Dennison Himmelfarb, Charles Agyemang, and Anne E. Sumner. 2015. "Cardiometabolic Health in African Immigrants to the U.S.: A Call to Re-Examine Research on African-Descent Populations." *Ethnicity and Disease* 25 (3): 373– 380.
- Cooper, Alexia and Erica L. Smith. 2011. "Patterns and Trends: Homicide Trends in the U.S., 1980-2008." Rockville, MD: Bureau of Justice Statistics, U.S. Department of Justice.
- Cooper, Richard S. 2004. "Genetic Factors in Ethnic Disparities in Health." In *Critical Perspectives on Racial and Ethnic Differences in Health in Later Life*, edited by Norman B. Anderson, Rodolfo A. Bulatao, and Barney Cohen, 267–309. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Coresh, Josef, Michael J. Klag, Paul K. Whelton, and Lewis H. Kuller. 1991. "Left Ventricular Hypertrophy and Skin Color among American Blacks." *American Journal of Epidemiology* 134 (2): 129-136.
- Crimmins, Eileen M., and Seeman, Teresa. 2001. "Integrating Biology into Demographic Research on Health and Aging (with a Focus on the MacArthur Study of Successful Aging)." In *Cells and Surveys: Should Biological Measures Be Included in Social Science Research?*, edited by Caleb E. Finch, James W. Vaupel, and Kevin Kinsella,9-41. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

- Crowder, Kyle D. 1999. "Residential Segregation of West Indians in the New York/New Jersey Metropolitan Area: The Roles of Race and Ethnicity." *International Migration Review* 33 (1): 79-113.
- Dallman, Mary F., Norman Pecoraro, Susan F. Akana, Susanne E. La Fleur, Francisca Gomez, Hani Houshyar, M. E. Bell, Seema Bhatnagar, Kevin D. Laugero, and Sotara Manalo.
 2003. "Chronic Stress and Obesity: A New View of "Comfort Food"." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 100(20): 11696-11701.
- Darity, William, A. Jr. 1982. "The Human Capital Approach to Black-White Earnings Inequality: Some Unsettled Questions." *The Journal of Human Resources* 17 (1): 72-93.
- Darity, William, A. Jr., and Patrick L. Mason. 1998. "Evidence on Discrimination in Employment: Codes of Color, Codes of Gender." *The Journal of Economic Perspectives* 12 (2): 63-90.
- Darity, William, Jason Dietrich, and David K. Guilkey. 2001. "Persistent Advantage or Disadvantage?: Evidence in Support of the Intergenerational Drag Hypothesis." *American Journal of Economics and Sociology* 60(2): 435-470.
- Diez Roux, Ana V. 2011. "Complex Systems Thinking and Current Impasses in Health Disparities Research." *American Journal of Public Health* 101 (9): 1627-1634.
- Diez Roux, Ana V. 2012. "Conceptual Approaches to the Study of Health Disparities." *Annual Review of Public Health* 33: 41–58.
- Diez Roux, Ana V., and Christina Mair. 2010. "Neighborhoods and Health." *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 1186: 125-145.
- Doamekpor, Lauren A., and Gniesha Y. Dinwiddie. 2015. "Allostatic Load in Foreign-Born and Us-Born Blacks: Evidence from the 2001–2010 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey." *American Journal of Public Health* 105 (3): 591-597.

- Dowd, Jennifer B., Amanda M. Simanek, and Allison E. Aiello. 2009. "Socio-Economic Status, Cortisol and Allostatic Load: A Review of the Literature." *International Journal of Epidemiology* 38 (5): 1297-1309.
- Dressler, William W. 1990. "Social Class, Skin Color, and Arterial Blood Pressure in Two Societies." *Ethnicity and Disease* 1 (1): 60-77.
- Dutton Diana B. 1978. "Explaining the Low Use of Health Services by the Poor: Costs, Attitude, or Delivery Systems." *American Sociological Review* 43: 348-368
- Elo, Irma T., and Jennifer F. Culhane. 2010. "Variations in Health and Health Behaviors by Nativity among Pregnant Black Women in Philadelphia." *American Journal of Public Health* 100 (11): 2185-92. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2009.174755.
- Elo, Irma T., Elizabeth Frankenberg, Romeo Gansey, and Duncan Thomas. 2015. "Africans in the American Labor Market." *Demography* 52 (5): 1513-1542.
- Elo, Irma T., Neil Mehta, and Cheng Huang. 2008. "Health of Native-Born and Foreign-Born Black Residents in the U.S.: Evidence from the 2000 Census of Population and the National Health Interview Survey." PARC Working Paper Series, WPS 08-04.
- Elo, Irma T., Zoua Vang, and Jennifer F. Culhane. 2014. "Variation in Birth Outcomes by Mother's Country of Birth among Non-Hispanic Black Women in the U.S." *Maternal* and Child Health Journal 18 (10): 2371-2381.
- Essed, Philomena. 1991. Understanding Everyday Racism. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Ewbank, Douglas C. 2008. "Biomarkers in Social Science Research on Health and Aging: A Review of Theory and Practice." In *Biological Surveys*, edited by Maxine Weinstein, James W. Vaupel, and Kenneth W. Wachter, 156–174. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Fang, Jing, Shantha Madhavan, and Michael H. Alderman. 1996. "The Association between Birthplace and Mortality from Cardiovascular Causes among Black and White Residents of New York City." *The New England Journal of Medicine* 335 (21): 1545–1551.

- Finch, Caleb E., and James W. Vaupel. 2001. "Collecting Biological Indicators in Household Surveys." In Cells and Surveys: Should Biological Measures Be Included in Social Science Research?, edited by Caleb E. Finch, James W. Vaupel, and Kevin Kinsella, 1-8. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Flegal, Katherine M., Margaret D. Carroll, Cynthia L. Ogden and Clifford L. Johnson. 2002. "Prevalence and Trends in Obesity among US Adults, 1999-2000." *Journal of the American Medical Association* 288 (14): 1723-1727.
- Ford, Jodi L., and Christopher R. Browning. 2013. "Neighborhoods and Infectious Disease Risk: Acquisition of Chlamydia during the Transition to Adulthood." *Journal of Urban Health* 91 (1): 136-150.
- Ford, Nicole D., K.M. Venkat Narayan, and Neil K. Mehta. 2015. "Diabetes among US- and Foreign-Born Blacks in the USA." *Ethnicity and Health* 21 (1): 71-84. doi: 10.1080/13557858.2015.1010490.
- Franklin, F. Abron, Thomas A. Laveist, Daniel W. Webster, and William K. Pan. 2010. "Alcohol Outlets and Violent Crime in Washington, D.C." *The Western Journal of Emergency Medicine* 11 (3): 283–290.
- Freeman, Lance. 2002. "Does Spatial Assimilation Work for Black Immigrants in the US?" *Urban Studies* 39 (11): 1983-2003.
- Frey, William H. June 11, 2015. "The Avenue: A Snapshot of Race in America's Neighborhoods." *The Avenue* (blog), https://www.brookings.edu/blog/theavenue/2015/06/11/a-snapshot-of-race-in-americas-neighborhoods.
- Gebreab, Samson Y., Pia Riestra, Amadou Gaye, Rumana J. Khan, Ruihua Xu, Adam R. Davis, Rakale C. Quarells, Sharon K. Davis, and Gary H. Gibbons. 2016. "Perceived Neighborhood Problems Are Associated with Shorter Telomere Length in African American Women." *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 69 (July): 90–97.

- Geronimus, Arline T. 2001. "Understanding and Eliminating Racial Inequalities in Women's Health in the U.S.: The Role of the Weathering Conceptual Framework." *Journal of the American Medical Women's Association* 56(4): 133-136.
- Gleiberman, Lillian, Ernest Harburg, Michael R. Frone, Marcia Russell, and M. Lynne Cooper.
 1995. "Skin Colour, Measures of Socioeconomic Status, and Blood Pressure among Blacks in Erie County, NY." *Annals of Human Biology* 22 (1): 69-73.
- Goldsmith, A. H., Darrick Hamilton, D., and William Darity. 2006. "Shades of Discrimination: Skin Tone and Wages." *The American Economic Review* 96(2), 242-245.
- Goldsmith, Arthur H., Darrick Hamilton, and William Darity, Jr. 2007. "From Dark to Light: Skin Color and Wages among African-Americans." *Journal of Human Resources* XLII (4): 701-738.
- Gravlee, Clarence C., and William W. Dressler. 2005. "Skin Pigmentation, Self-Perceived Color, and Arterial Blood Pressure in Puerto Rico." *American Journal of Human Biology* 17 (2): 195-206.
- Green, T. L. 2012. Black and Immigrant: Exploring the Effects of Ethnicity and Foreign-Born Status on Infant Health. Washington, D.C: Migration Policy Institute.
- Grotle, Margreth, Kare B. Hagen, Bard Natvig, Fredrik A. Dahl, and Tore K. Kvien. 2008.
 "Obesity and Osteoarthritis in Knee, Hip and/or Hand: An Epidemiological Study in the General Population with 10 Years Follow-up." *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 9: 132.
- Guo, Guang, Yilan Fu, Hedwig Lee, Tianji Cai, Kathleen Mullan Harris, and Yi Li. 2014.
 "Genetic Bio-ancestry and Social Construction of Racial Classification in Social Surveys in the Contemporary United States." *Demography* 51(1): 141-172.
- Hamilton, Tod G. 2013. "Do Country-of-Origin Characteristics Help Explain Variation in Health among Black Immigrants in the U.S.?" *Social Science Quarterly* 95 (3): 817-34. doi: 10.1111/ssqu.12063.

- Hamilton, Tod G. 2014. "Selection, Language Heritage, and the Earnings Trajectories of Black Immigrants in the U.S." *Demography* 51 (3): 975-1002.
- Hamilton, Tod G. 2015. "The Healthy Immigrant (Migrant) Effect: In Search of a Better Native-Born Comparison Group." *Social Science Research* 54: 353-365.
- Hamilton, T. G., and Hummer, R. A. 2011. "Immigration and the health of US black adults: does country of origin matter?." *Social science and medicine* 73 (10): 1551-1560.
- Harburg, Ernest, John C. Erfurt, Louise S. Hauenstein, Catherine Chape, William J. Schull, and M. Anthony Schork. 1973. "Socio-Ecological Stress, Suppressed Hostility, Skin Color, and Black-White Male Blood Pressure: Detroit." *Psychosomatic Medicine* 35 (4): 276-296.
- Harburg, Ernest, Lillian Gleibermann, Peter Roeper, M. Anthony Schork, and William J. Schull.
 1978. "Skin Color, Ethnicity, and Blood Pressure I: Detroit Blacks." *American Journal of Public Health* 68 (12): 1177-1183.
- Harrell, Erika. 2007. *Black Victims of Violent Crime*. US Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics.
- Hicken, Margaret T., Gragg, Robert, and Hu Howard, 2011. "How Cumulative Risks Warrant a Shift in Our Approach to Racial Health Disparities: The Case of Lead, Stress, and Hypertension." *Health Affairs* 30 (10):1895-1901.
- Hicks, LeRoi S., David G. Fairchild, E. Francis Cook, and John Z. Ayanian. 2003. "Association of Region of Residence and Immigrant Status with Hypertension, Renal Failure, Cardiovascular Disease, and Stroke, among African-American Participants in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III)." *Ethnicity and Disease* 13 (3): 316–323.
- Hickson, DeMarc A., Ana V. Diez Roux, Samson Y. Gebreab, Sharon B. Wyatt, Patricia M. Dubbert, Daniel F. Sarpong, Mario Sims, and Herman A. Taylor. 2012. "Social Patterning of Cumulative Biological Risk by Education and Income among African Americans." *American Journal of Public Health* 102 (7): 1362–1369.

- House, James S. and David R. Williams. 2000. "Understanding and Reducing Socioeconomic and Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Health." In *Promoting Health: Intervention Strategies from Social and Behavioral Research*, edited by B. Smedley and S. Syme, 81-124. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.
- Huffman, Fatma G., Maurcio De La Cera, Joan A. Vaccaro, Gustavo G. Zarini, Joel Exebio, Deva Gundupalli, and Lamya Shaban. 2011. "Healthy Eating Index and Alternate Healthy Eating Index among Haitian Americans and African Americans with and without Type 2 Diabetes." *Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism* doi: 10.1155/2011/398324.
- Hunt, Matthew O., Lauren A. Wise, Marie-Claude Jipguep, Yvette C. Cozier, and Lynn Rosenberg. 2007. "Neighborhood Racial Composition and Perceptions of Racial Discrimination: Evidence from the Black Women's Health Study." *Social Psychology Quarterly* 70 (3): 272-289.
- Hyman, D. J., K. Ogbonnaya, V. N. Pavlik, W. S. Poston, and K. Ho. 1999. "Lower Hypertension Prevalence in First-Generation African Immigrants Compared to Us-Born African Americans." *Ethnicity and Disease* 10 (3): 343-349.
- Ifatunji, Mosi A. 2016. "A Test Of The Afro Caribbean Model Minority Hypothesis: Exploring the Role of Cultural Attributes in Labor Market Disparities between African Americans and Afro Caribbeans." *Du Bois Review: Social Science Research on Race* 13 (1): 109-138.
- Illsley, Raymond and Ken Mullen. 1985. "The Health Needs of Disadvantaged Client Groups." Oxford Textbook of Public Health: 389-402.
- Jackson, James S., Cleopatra Howard Caldwell, Sherrill L. Sellers. 2012. *Researching Black Communities: A Methodological Guide*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
- Jackson, James S., and Gerald Gurin. 1987. National Survey of Black Americans, 1979-1980. 1st ICPSR ed. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research.

- Jackson, James and Shirley J. Hatchett. 1986. "Intergenerational Research: Methodological Considerations." In *Intergenerational Relations* edited by N. Datan, A.L. Green, and H.W. Reese, 51-75. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum Associates.
- Jackson, James S., and Katherine M. Knight. 2006. "Race and Self-Regulatory Behaviors: The Role of the Stress Response and HPA Axis in Physical and Mental Health Disparities." In Social Structure, Aging and Self-Regulation in the Elderly, edited by K. Warner Schaie and Laura L. Carstensen., 189-207. New York, NY: Springer.
- Johnson, Charles S. 1934. Shadow of the Plantation. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Keil, Julian E., Samuel H. Sandifer, C. Boyd Loadholt, and Edwin Boyle Jr. 1981. "Skin Color and Education Effects on Blood Pressure." *American Journal of Public Health* 71 (5): 532-534.
- Keil, Julian E., Susan E. Sutherland, Rebecca G. Knapp, Herman A. Tyroler, and W. S. Pollitzer. 1992. "Skin Color and Mortality." *American Journal of Epidemiology* 136 (11): 1295-1302.
- Keith, Verna M., and Cedric Herring. 1991. "Skin Tone and Stratification in the Black Community." *American Journal of Sociology* 97(3): 760-778.
- Kent, M.M. 2007. "Immigration and America's Black Population." *Population Bulletin* 62(4).
- Kim, Ann H., and Michael J. White. 2010. "Panethnicity, Ethnic Diversity, and Residential Segregation." *American Journal of Sociology* 115 (5): 1558–1596.
- Kimbro, Rachel Tolbert. 2009. "Acculturation in Context: Gender, Age at Migration, Neighborhood Ethnicity, and Health Behaviors." *Social Science Quarterly* 90 (5): 1145-1166.
- King, Gary, Anthony P. Polednak, Robert Bendel, and Deborah Hovey. 1999. "Cigarette Smoking among Native and Foreign-Born African Americans." *Annals of Epidemiology* 9 (4): 236-244.

- Klag, Michael J., Paul K. Whelton, Josef Coresh, Clarence E. Grim, and Lewis H. Kuller. 1991.
 "The Association of Skin Color with Blood Pressure in Us Blacks with Low Socioeconomic Status." *Journal of the American Medical Association* 265 (5): 599-602.
- Knapp, Rebecca G., Julian E. Keil, Susan E. Sutherland, Philip F. Rust, Curtis Hames, and Herman A. Tyroler. 1995. "Skin Color and Cancer Mortality among Black Men in the Charleston Heart Study." *Clinical Genetics* 47 (4): 200-206.
- Lacey, Krim K., and Dawne M. Mouzon. 2016. "Severe Physical Intimate Partner Violence and the Mental and Physical Health of US Black Women." *Journal of Women's Health* 25 (9): 920-929.
- Lacey, Krim K., Dawne M. Mouzon, Ishtar O. Govia, Niki Matusko, Ivy Forsythe-Brown, Jamie M. Abelson, and James S. Jackson. 2016. "Substance Abuse among Blacks across the Diaspora." Substance Use and Misuse 51 (9): 1147-1158.
- Lancaster, Kristie J. 2009. "Influence of Diet on Cardiovascular Disease in African Americans, Africans, and African Caribbeans." *Current Cardiovascular Risk Reports* 3 (3): 181-186.
- Lancaster, Kristie J., Sheldon O. Watts, and L. Beth Dixon. 2006. "Dietary Intake and Risk of Coronary Heart Disease Differ among Ethnic Subgroups of Black Americans." *The Journal of Nutrition* 136 (2): 446-451.
- Landrine, Hope, and Irma Corral. 2009. "Separate and Equal: Residential Segregation and Black Health Disparities." *Ethnicity and Disease* 19 (2): 179-184.
- Lantz, Paula M., James S. House, James M. Lepkowski, David R. Williams, Richard P. Mero, and Jieming Chen. 1998. Socioeconomic Factors, Health Behaviors, and Mortality: Results From a Nationally Representative Prospective Study of US Adults. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 279(21): 1703-1708.
- LaVeist, Thomas A., and John M. Wallace. 2000. "Health risk and Inequitable Distribution of Liquor Stores in African American Neighborhood." *Social Science and Medicine* 51 (4): 613–617.

- Lemann, N. 2011. *The Promised Land: The Great Black Migration and how it Changed America*. New York, NY: Vintage.
- Lewis, Tené T., Courtney D. Cogburn, and David R. Williams. 2015. "Self-Reported Experiences of Discrimination and Health: Scientific Advances, Ongoing Controversies, and Emerging Issues." *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* 11 (1): 407–440.
- Lewis, Tené T., David R. Williams, Mahader Tamene, and Cheryl R. Clark. 2014. "Self-Reported Experiences of Discrimination and Cardiovascular Disease." *Current Cardiovascular Risk Reports* 8 (1): 365–379.
- Lieberson, S. 1980. A Piece of the Pie: Blacks and White Immigrants since 1880. University of California Press.
- Lindau, S. T., and T. W. McDade. 2008. "Minimally Invasive and Innovative Methods for Biomeasure Collection in Population-Based Research." In *Biological Surveys*, edited by Maxine Weinstein, James W. Vaupel, and Kenneth W. Wachter, 251–77. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Link, Bruce G., and Jo C. Phelan. 1995. "Social Conditions as Fundamental Causes of Disease." *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* Special Issue: 80–94.
- Link, Bruce G., Mary E. Northridge, Jo C. Phelan, and Michael L. Ganz. 1998. "Social Epidemiology and the Fundamental Cause Concept: on the Structuring of Effective Cancer Screens by Socioeconomic Status." *The Milbank Quarterly* 76 (3): 375-402.
- Link, Bruce G., Jo C. Phelan, Richard Miech, and Emily Leckman Westin. 2008. "The Resources that Matter: Fundamental Social Causes of Health Disparities and the Challenge of Intelligence." *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 49 (1):72-91.
- Lucas, Jacqueline W., Daheia J. Barr-Anderson, and Raynard S. Kington. 2003. "Health Status, Health Insurance, and Health Care Utilization Patterns of Immigrant Black Men." *American Journal of Public Health* 93 (10): 1740-7.

- Massey, Douglas S. 2001. "Residential Segregation and Neighborhood Conditions in U.S.
 Metropolitan Areas." In America Becoming: Racial Trends and Their Consequences, Volume 1, edited by Neil J. Smelser, William Julius Wilson, and Faith Mitchell, 391-434.
 Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Massey, Douglas S. 2004. "Segregation and Stratification: A Biosocial Perspective." Du Bois Review: Social Science Research on Race 1 (1): 7–25. doi:10.1017/S1742058X04040032.
- Matheson, Flora I., Maria Isabella Creatore, Piotr I. Gozdyra, Alison L. Park, and Joel G. Ray.
 2014. "A Population-based Study of Premature Mortality in Relation to Neighbourhood Density of Alcohol Sales and Cheque Cashing Outlets in Toronto, Canada." *BMJ Open* 4 (12). doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006032.
- Mays, Vickie M., Susan D. Cochran, and Namdi W. Barnes. 2007. "Race, Race-based Discrimination, and Health Outcomes among African Americans." *Annual Review of Psychology* 58: 201-225.
- McEwen, Bruce S. 1998. "Stress, Adaptation, and Disease: Allostasis and Allostatic Load." Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 840: 33-44.
- Mehta, Neil K., Irma T. Elo, Nicole D. Ford, and Karen R. Siegel. 2015. "Obesity among US-and Foreign-Born Blacks by Region of Birth." *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 49 (2): 269-73.
- Miller, Gregory, Edith Chen, and Steve W. Cole. 2009. "Health Psychology: Developing Biologically Plausible Models Linking the Social World and Physical Health." *Annual Review of Psychology* 60: 501–24.
- Model, Suzanne. 2008. West Indian Immigrants: A Black Success Story?. New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Monk, Ellis P. Jr. 2014. "Skin Tone Stratification among Black Americans, 2001–2003." Social Forces 92(4):1313-1337.

- Monk, Ellis P. Jr. 2015. "The Cost of Color: Skin Color, Discrimination, and Health among African-Americans." *American Journal of Sociology* 121 (2): 396-444.
- Morland, Kimberly, AnaV. Diez Roux, and Steve Wing. 2006. "Supermarkets, Other Food Stores, and Obesity: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study." *American Journal* of Preventive Medicine 30 (4): 333-339.
- Nazmi, Aydin, and Cesar G. Victora. 2007. "Socioeconomic and Racial/Ethnic Differentials of C-Reactive Protein Levels: A Systematic Review of Population-Based Studies." BMC Public Health 7: 212–212.
- Nelson, D. A., M. Kleerekoper, E. Peterson, and A. M. Parfitt. 1993. "Skin Color and Body Size as Risk Factors for Osteoporosis." *Osteoporosis International* 3 (1): 18-23.
- O'Connor, M. Rebecca. 2013. "Type 1 Diabetes in Immigrant and Nonimmigrant Black Youth." PhD dissertation, University of Washington.
- O'Connor, Michelle Y., Caroline K. Thoreson, Madia Ricks, Amber B. Courville, Francine Thomas, Jianhua Yao, Peter T. Katzmarzyk, and Anne E. Sumner. 2014. "Worse Cardiometabolic Health in African Immigrant Men than African American Men: Reconsideration of the Healthy Immigrant Effect." *Metabolic Syndrome and Related Disorders* 12 (6): 347-53. doi: 10.1089/met.2014.0026.
- Ogden, Cynthia L., Margaret D. Carroll, Brian K. Kit, and Katherine M. Flegal. 2013. "Prevalence of Obesity among Adults: U.S., 2011-2012." *National Center for Health Statistics Data Brief* 131. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.
- Pampel, Fred C., Patrick M. Krueger, and Justin T. Denney. 2010. "Socioeconomic Disparities in Health Behaviors." *Annual Review of Sociology* 36: 349-70.
- Pearlin, Leonard I., Scott Schieman, Elena M. Fazio, and Stephen C. Meersman. 2005. "Stress, Health, and the Life Course: Some Conceptual Perspectives." *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 46 (2): 205–219.

- Phelan, Jo C., Bruce G. Link, Ana Diez-Roux, Ichiro Kawachi, and Bruce Levin. 2004.
 "Fundamental Causes' of Social Inequalities in Mortality: A Test of the Theory." Journal of Health and Social Behavior 45 (3): 265–285.
- Phelan, Jo C., Bruce G. Link, and Parisa Tehranifar. 2010. "Social Conditions as Fundamental Causes of Health Inequalities: Theory, Evidence, and Policy Implications." *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 51 (1 suppl): S28–40.
- Polednak, Anthony P. 2007. "Secular Trends in U.S. Black-White Disparities in Selected Alcohol-Related Cancer Incidence Rates." *Alcohol and Alcoholism* 42 (2): 125-130.
- Portes, A., and Rumbaut, R. G. 2006. *Immigrant America: A Portrait*. University of California Press.
- Poston, W. S. Carlos, V. N Pavlik, D. J. Hyman, K. Ogbonnaya, C. L. Hanis, C. K. Haddock, M. L. Hyder, and J. P. Foreyt. 2001. "Genetic Bottlenecks, Perceived Racism, and Hypertension Risk among African Americans and First-Generation African Immigrants." *Journal of Human Hypertension* 15 (5): 341-51. doi: dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.jhh.1001174.
- Okosun, Ike S., Richard S. Cooper, Charles N. Rotimi, Babatunde Osotimehin, and Terrence Forrester. 1998. "Association of Waist Circumference with Risk of Hypertension and Type 2 Diabetes in Nigerians, Jamaicans, and African-Americans." *Diabetes Care* 21 (11): 1836-1842.
- Rahmouni, Kamal, Marcelo L. G. Correia, William G. Haynes, and Allyn L. Mark. 2005. "New Insights into Mechanisms." *Hypertension* 45: 9-14.
- Read, J. N. G., Emerson, M. O., and Tarlov, A. 2005. Implications of Black Immigrant Health for US Racial Disparities in Health. *Journal of Immigrant Health*, 7 (3), 205-212.
- Reid, Ira De Augustine. 1939. *The Negro Immigrant, His Background, Characteristics,* and Social Adjustment, 1899-1937. New York: Arno Press.

Richardson E. A., S. E. Hill, R. Mitchell, J. Pearce, and N. K. Shortt. 2015. "Is Local Alcohol Outlet Density Related to Alcohol-Related Morbidity and Mortality in Scottish Cities?" *Health and Place* 33, 172-180.

- Rosen, George. 1979. "The Evolution of Social Medicine." In *The Handbook of Medical Sociology*, 3rd ed., edited by Howard Freeman, Sol Levine, and Leo Reeder, 23-50. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Rosenblum, Alexis, William Darity, Angel L. Harris, and Tod G. Hamilton. 2015. "Looking through the Shades the Effect of Skin Color on Earnings by Region of Birth and Race for Immigrants to the U.S." *Sociology of Race and Ethnicity*: 2 (1): 87-105.
- Rosmond, Roland. 2005. "Role of Stress in the Pathogenesis of the Metabolic Syndrome." *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 30 (1): 1–10.
- Rosmond, Roland, and P. Björntorp. 2000. "The Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis Activity as a Predictor of Cardiovascular Disease, Type 2 Diabetes and Stroke." *Journal of Internal Medicine* 247 (2): 188–197.
- Ross, Catherine E., and John Mirowsky. 2001. "Neighborhood Disadvantage, Disorder, and Health." *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 42 (3): 258-276.
- Ruberman William, Eve Weinblatt, Goldberg Judith D., Chaudhary Banvir S. 1984 "Psychosocial Influences on Mortality after Myocardial Infarction." *New England Journal of Medicine* 311(9): 552-59
- Ryan, Andrew M., Gilbert C. Gee, and David F. Laflamme. 2006. "The Association between Self-Reported Discrimination, Physical Health and Blood Pressure: Findings from African Americans, Black Immigrants, and Latino Immigrants in New Hampshire." *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved* 17 (2): 116-132.
- Sapolsky, Robert M. 2002. "Neuroendocrinology of the Stress-Response." In *Behavioral Endocrinology*, edited by Jill B. Becker, S. Marc Breedlove, David Crews, and Margaret M. McCarthy, 287-324. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Sarikaya, Hakan, Firat Elmas, Marcel Arnold, Dimitrios Georgiadis, and Ralf W. Baumgartner. 2011. Impact of Obesity on Stroke Outcome after Intravenous Thrombolysis. *Stroke* 42: 2330-2332. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.599613.
- Schulz, Amy J., Srimathi Kannan, J. Timothy Dvonch, Barbara A. Israel, Alex Allen, Sherman A. James, James S. House, and James Lepkowski. 2005. "Social and Physical Environments and Disparities in Risk for Cardiovascular Disease: The Healthy Environments Partnership Conceptual Model." *Environmental Health Perspectives* 113 (12): 1817–1825.
- Schuster, Dara P., Trudy Gaillard, and Kwame Osei. 2007. "The Cardiometabolic Syndrome in Persons of the African Diaspora: Challenges and Opportunities." *Journal of the CardioMetabolic Syndrome* 2 (4): 260–266.
- Schwam, Brian L., Jeffrey W. Kalenak, Stephanie J. Meyers, and Kashyap B. Kansupada. 1995.
 "Association between Skin Color and Intraocular Pressure in African Americans."
 Journal of Clinical Epidemiology 48 (4): 491-496.
- Seeman, Teresa E., Bruce S. McEwen, John W. Rowe, and Burton H. Singer. 2001. "Allostatic Load as a Marker of Cumulative Biological Risk: MacArthur Studies of Successful Aging." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 98 (8): 4770–4775.
- Seltzer, Richard, and Robert C. Smith. 1991. "Color Differences in the Afro-American Community and the Differences they Make." *Journal of Black Studies* 21(3): 279-286.
- Singh, Gopal K., and Mohammad Siahpush. 2002. "Ethnic-Immigrant Differentials in Health Behaviors, Morbidity, and Cause-Specific Mortality in the U.S.: An Analysis of Two National Data Bases." *Human Biology* 74 (1): 83-109.
- Steptoe, A., and Michael G. Marmot. 2002. "The Role of Psychobiological Pathways in Socio-Economic Inequalities in Cardiovascular Disease Risk." *European Heart Journal* 23 (1): 13–25.

- Sternthal, Michelle, Natalie Slopen, and David R. Williams. 2011. "Racial Disparities in Health: How Much Does Stress Really Matter?" *Du Bois Review* 8 (1): 95–113. doi: 10.1017/S1742058X11000087.
- Stockdale, Susan E., Kenneth B. Wells, Lingqi Tang, Thomas R. Belin, Lily Zhang, and Cathy D. Sherbourne. 2007. "The Importance of Social Context: Neighborhood Stressors, Stress-Buffering Mechanisms, and Alcohol, Drug, and Mental Health Disorders." *Social Science and Medicine* 65 (9): 1867–1881.
- Sweet, Elizabeth, Thomas W. McDade, Catarina I. Kiefe, and Kiang Liu. 2007. "Relationships between Skin Color, Income, and Blood Pressure among African Americans in the CARDIA Study." *American Journal of Public Health* 97 (12): 2253-2259.
- Takeuchi, David T., Lisa Sun-Hee Park, Yonette F. Thomas, and Samantha Teixera. 2016. "Race and Environmental Equity." *Dubois Review* 13 (2): 215-219.
- Taylor, Dorceta. 2014. *Toxic Communities: Environmental Racism, Industrial Pollution, and Residential Mobility*. New York, NY: New York University Press.
- Taylor, Jacquelyn Y., Chun Yi Wu, Denise Darling, Yan V. Sun, Sharon L. R. Kardia, and James
 S. Jackson. 2012. "Gene-Environment Effects of Slc4a5 and Skin Color on Blood
 Pressure among African American Women." *Ethnicity and Disease* 22 (2): 155-161.
- Tolnay, S. E. 2003. The African American "Great Migration" and Beyond. *Annual Review of Sociology* 29(1), 209-232.
- Turner, R. Jay, Blair B. Wheaton, and Donald A. Lloyd. 1995. "The Epidemiology of Social Stress." American Sociological Review 60(1): 104-125.
- Ukegbu, Ugochi J., Darleen C. Castillo, Michael G. Knight, Madia Ricks, Bernard V. Miller III, Barbara M. Onumah, and Anne E. Sumner. 2011. "Metabolic Syndrome Does Not Detect Metabolic Risk in African Men Living in the U.S." *Diabetes Care* 34 (10): 2297–99.

- Van Gaal, Luc F., Ilse L. Mertens, and Christopher E. De Block. 2006. "Mechanisms Linking Obesity with Cardiovascular Disease. *Nature* 444: 875-80.
- Vreeburg, Sophie A., Witte, J. G. Hoogendijk, Johannes van Pelt, Roel H. Derijk, Jolanda C. M. Verhagen, Richard van Dyck, Johannes H. Smit, Frans G. Zitman, and Brenda W. J. H. Penninx. 2009. "Major Depressive Disorder and Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis Activity: Results from a Large Cohort Study." *Archives of General Psychiatry* 66 (6): 617–626.
- Wade, Becky, Joseph T. Lariscy and Robert A. Hummer. 2013. "Racial/Ethnic and Nativity Patterns of U.S. Adolescent and Young Adult Smoking." *Population Research and Policy Review* 32 (3): 353-71. doi: 10.1007/s11113-013-9275-8.
- Wassink, Joshua, Krista M. Perreira, and Kathleen M. Harris. 2016. "Beyond Race/Ethnicity: Skin Color and Cardiometabolic Health among Blacks and Hispanics in the U.S." *Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health*, September, 1–9.
- White, Kellee, Luisa N. Borrell, David W. Wong, Sandro Galea, Gbenga Ogedegbe, and M.
 Maria Glymour. 2011. "Racial/Ethnic Residential Segregation and Self-Reported Hypertension among US- and Foreign-Born Blacks in New York City." *American Journal of Hypertension* 24 (8): 904–910.
- Wickrama, Kandauda, Catherine Walker O'Neal and Tae Kyoung Lee. 2015. "The Health Impact of Upward Mobility: Does Socioeconomic Attainment Make Youth More Vulnerable to Stressful Circumstances?" *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* 45(2): 271-285.
- Williams, D.R. 1997. "Race and Health: Basic Questions, Emerging Directions." Annals of Epidemiology. 7(5):322-333.
- Williams, David R. 1999. "Race, Socioeconomic Status and Health: The Added Effects of Racism and Discrimination." Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 896: 173-88.
- Williams, David R., and Chiquita Collins. 2001. "Racial Residential Segregation: A Fundamental Cause of Racial Disparities in Health." *Public Health Reports* 116 (5): 404-416.

- Williams, David R., Hector M. Gonzalez, Stacey Williams, Selina A. Mohammed, Hashim Moomal, and Dan J. Stein. 2008. "Perceived Discrimination, Race and Health in South Africa." Social Science and Medicine, Stigma, Prejudice, Discrimination and Health, 67 (3): 441–452.
- Williams, David R., and Selina A. Mohammed. 2009. "Discrimination and Racial Disparities in Health: Evidence and Needed Research." *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 32 (1): 20–47.
- Williams, David R., and Ruth Williams-Morris. 2000. "Racism and Mental Health: The African American Experience." *Ethnicity and Health* 5(3/4): 243-268.
- Williams, David R., Yan Yu, James S. Jackson, and Norman B. Anderson. 1997. "Racial Differences in Physical and Mental Health: Socio-Economic Status, Stress and Discrimination." *Journal of Health Psychology* 2 (3): 335–351.
- Wingate, M. S., Swaminathan, S., and Alexander, G. R. 2009. The Influence of Maternal Mobility on Birth Outcomes of non-Hispanic Blacks. *Maternal and child health journal* 13(1), 48-55.
- Wong, David WS. 2002. "Modeling Local Segregation: A Spatial Interaction Approach." *Geographical and Environmental Modelling* 6(1): 81-97.
- Yang, Alice L., Shweta Vadhavkar, Gurkipal Singh, and M. Bishr Omary. 2008. "Epidemiology of Alcohol-Related Liver and Pancreatic Disease in the U.S." Archives of Internal Medicine 168 (6): 649-656.

APPENDIX A: DESCRIPTION OF REPRESENTATIVE DATA SETS USED IN THIS REPORT

The National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (Add Health; Carolina Population Center at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill). The National Longitudinal Survey of Adolescent Health (Add Health) was initiated in 1994, Add Health is the largest, most comprehensive survey of adolescents ever conducted. Add Health is a longitudinal panel study, with the fifth wave of data collection schedule for 2016-2018. Add Health is a school-based longitudinal study of a nationally-representative sample of adolescents in grades 7-12 in the United States in 1994-95. Data have been collected from adolescents, their fellow students, school administrators, parents, siblings, friends, and romantic partners through multiple data collection components, including four respondent in-home interviews. In addition, existing databases with information about respondents' neighborhoods and communities have been merged with Add Health data, including variables on income and poverty, unemployment, availability and utilization of health services, crime, church membership, and social programs and policies (Harris, et al. 2009).

For more technical descriptions of the data:

Harris, K.M., C.T. Halpern, E. Whitsel, J. Hussey, J. Tabor, P. Entzel, and J.R. Udry. 2009. The National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health: Research Design [WWW document]. URL: http://www.cpc.unc.edu/projects/addhealth/design. Carolina Population Center. "Add Health Research Design: Waves I-V". Retrieved January 24, 2017

(http://www.cpc.unc.edu/projects/addhealth/design/researchdesign.pdf).

National Survey of American Life, 2001-3

The National Survey of American Life (NSAL)is a study designed to explore racial and ethnic differences in mental disorders, psychological distress, and informal and formal service use from within the context of a variety of presumed risk and protective factors in the African-American and Afro-Caribbean populations of the United States as compared with White respondents living in the same communities (Description from: https://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/00190).

For more technical descriptions of the data:

Jackson, James S., Harold W. Neighbors, Randolph M. Nesse, Steven J. Trierweiler and Myriam Torres. 2004. "Methodological Innovations in the National Survey of American Life." *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research* 13(4):289-98.

Jackson, James S., Myriam Torres, Cleopatra H. Caldwell, Harold W. Neighbors, Randolph M. Nesse, Robert Joseph Taylor, Steven J. Trierweiler and David R. Williams. 2004. "The National Survey of American Life: A Study of Racial, Ethnic and Cultural Influences on Mental Disorders and Mental Health." *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research* 13(4):196-207.

Jackson, James, Cleopatra Howard Caldwell, Myriam Torres and Julie Sweetman. 2012. "The National Survey of American Life: Innovations in Research with Ethnically Diverse Black Samples." Pp. 171-89 in *Researching Black Communities: A Methodological Guide*, edited by J. S. Jackson, C. H. Caldwell and S. L. Sellers. Ann

Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.

Heeringa, Steven G., James Wagner, Myriam Torres, Naihua Duan, Terry Adams and Patricia Berglund. 2004. "Sample Designs and Sampling Methods for the Collaborative Psychiatric Epidemiology Studies (Cpes)." *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research* 13(4):221-40.

Heeringa, Steven G., Myriam Torres, J. Sweetman and R. Baser. 2006. "Sample Design, Weighting and Variance Estimation for the 2001-3 National Survey of American Life Adult Sample." Vol.: Survey Research Center of the Institute for Social Research at the University of Michigan.

Current Population Survey

(http://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/about.html)

The Current Population Survey (CPS) is one of the oldest, largest, and most well-recognized surveys in the United States. In addition to being the primary source of monthly labor force statistics, the CPS is used to collect data for a variety of other studies that keep the nation informed of the economic and social well-being of its people. This is done by adding a set of supplemental questions to the monthly basic CPS questions. Supplemental inquiries vary month to month and cover a wide variety of topics such as child support, volunteerism, health insurance coverage, and school enrollment. Supplements are usually conducted annually or biannually, but the frequency and recurrence of a supplement depend completely on what best meets the needs of the supplement' s sponsor.

American Community Survey

https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/methodology/design-and-methodology. html

The American Community Survey (ACS) is an ongoing survey that provides vital information on a yearly basis about the U.S. population. Information from the survey generates data that help determine how more than \$400 billion in federal and state funds are distributed each year. Through the ACS, we know more about jobs and occupations, educational attainment, veterans, whether people own or rent their home, and other topics. Public officials, planners, and entrepreneurs use this information to assess the past and plan the future. When individuals respond to the ACS, they help communities plan hospitals and schools, support school lunch programs, improve emergency services, build bridges, and inform businesses looking to add jobs and expand to new markets, and more.

The American Community Survey (ACS) is a relatively new survey conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau. It uses a series of monthly samples to produce annually updated estimates for the same small areas (census tracts and block groups) formerly surveyed via the decennial census long-form sample.